arXiv:1506.09030v1 [math.PR] 30 Jun 2015

CONTINUITY AND STRICT POSITIVITY OF THE MULTI-LAYER EXTENSION OF THE STOCHASTIC HEAT EQUATION

CHIN HANG LUN AND JON WARREN

Abstract. We prove the continuity and strict positivity of the multi-layer extension to the stochastic heat equation introduced in [OW11] which form a hierarchy of partition functions for the continuum directed random polymer. This shows that the corresponding free energy (logarithm of the partition function) is well defined. This is also a step towards proving the conjecture stated at the end of the above paper that an array of such partition functions has the Markov property.

1. Introduction

In [OW11] O'Connell and Warren introduced the following: for each $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, $t > 0$ and x, $y \in \mathbb{R}$ define

$$Z_n(t,x,y) = p_t(x-y)^n \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta_k(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} R_k(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y}';t,x,y)\, W^{\otimes k}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s},\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}')\right), \tag{1}$$

where $\Delta_k(t) = \{0 < s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_k < t\}$, $\mathbf{s} = (s_1,\ldots,s_k)$, $\mathbf{y}' = (y'_1,\ldots,y'_k)$ and $R_k(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y}';t,x,y)$ is the k-point correlation function for a collection of n non-intersecting Brownian bridges each of which starts at x at time 0 and ends at y at time t. $p_t(x-y)$ is the heat kernel $(2\pi t)^{-1/2}e^{-(x-y)^2/2t}$. The integral is a k-fold stochastic integral with respect to space-time white noise, see Section 2 for the definition of such integrals. It was shown in [OW11] by considering local times of non-intersecting Brownian bridges that the infinite sum in the definition is convergent in L^2 with respect to the white noise.

Observe that $u = Z_1$ is the solution to the (multiplicative) stochastic heat equation (SHE) with delta initial data:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t,x,y) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_y + \dot{W}(t,y)\right)u(t,x,y), & t \in (0,\infty), y \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0,x,y) = \delta(x-y), & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

By a solution to the above we mean a random field u which satisfies almost surely the mild form

$$u(t,x,y) = p_t(x-y) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t-s}(y-y')u(s,x,y')\, W(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}y'). \tag{3}$$

Iterating equation (3) multiple times gives the chaos expansion (1) for $n = 1$. One can express the solution $u(t,x,y)$ in a more suggestive notation:

$$u(t,x,y) = p_t(x-y)\mathbb{E}^b_{x,y;t}\left[\mathscr{E}\mathrm{xp}\left(\int_0^t W(s,b_s)\,\mathrm{d}s\right)\right], \tag{4}$$

where b is a Brownian bridge that starts at x at time 0 and ends at y at time t and $\mathbb{E}^b_{x,y;t}$ denotes the corresponding expectation. $\mathscr{E}\mathrm{xp}$ is the *Wick exponential* defined by

$$\mathscr{E}\mathrm{xp}(M_t) := \exp\left(M_t - \frac{1}{2}\langle M, M\rangle_t\right),$$

for a martingale M. The Feynman–Kac formula (4) is not rigorous as it is unclear how one would define the integral of the white noise along a Brownian path and moreover to exponentiate such an expression. However, Taylor expanding the exponential, then switching the expectation with the infinite sum and evaluating the expectation, one obtains the chaos expansion of u. With this in mind, (4) can be thought of as a short hand for the chaos expansion (1) in the case $n = 1$. On the other hand, one can obtain an rigorous expression by replacing W in (4) with a smoothed version of the space-time white noise. Indeed, Bertini and Cancrini showed in [BC95] that such expression has a meaningful limit as one takes away the smoothing and that the limit solves the SHE. With this Feynman–Kac interpretation, one can think of the solution to the stochastic heat equation as the partition function (up to a multiplication by the heat kernel) of the continuum directed random polymer [AKQ14a].

Analogously, we write

$$Z_n(t,x,y) = p_t(x-y)^n \mathbb{E}^X_{x,y;t}\left[\mathscr{E}\mathrm{xp}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^t W(s, X^i_s)\,\mathrm{d}s\right)\right], \tag{5}$$

where $(X^1_s, \ldots, X^n_s, 0 \le s \le t)$ denotes the trajectories of the above mentioned collection of n non-intersecting Brownian bridges and $\mathbb{E}^X_{x,y;t}$ is the corresponding expectation. In the same manner as in the $n = 1$ case, (5) should be thought of as the short hand for the chaos expansion (1). Therefore, in view of (5) one can interpret Z_n as the partition function (up to a factor of the heat kernel) of a natural extension of the continuum directed random polymer involving multiple non-intersecting Brownian paths.

Since the work of Bertini and Giacomin [BG97], it is widely accepted that the logarithm of u is the Cole–Hopf solution to the KPZ equation [KPZ86],

$$\partial_t h(t,x) = \partial_x^2 h(t,x) + \big(\partial_x h(t,x)\big)^2 + \dot{W}(t,x), \tag{6}$$

with narrow wedge initial condition. This solution arises as the scaling limit of the corner growth model under weak asymmetry. The Cole–Hopf solution to the KPZ equation via the Feynman–Kac formula (4) can be seen as the free energy of the continuum directed random polymer. With this interpretation the Cole–Hopf solution can be regarded as the continuum analogue of the longest increasing subsequence of a random permutation, length of the first row of a random Young diagram, directed last passage percolation and free energy of a discrete/semi-discrete polymer in random media etc., see [BDJ99a], [BDJ99b], [BOO00], [Joh99], [Joh01a], [PS02], [Joh03], [COSZ14] and the references therein. In each of these discrete models, there is further structure provided either by multiple non-intersecting up-right paths on lattices, multi-layer growth dynamics or Young diagrams constructed from the RSK correspondence. The work in the above mentioned references have shown that in some cases, utilisation of this additional structure have lead to derivations of exact formulae for the distribution of quantities of interest. The above mentioned discrete models provide examples of what is called *integrability* or *exact solvability*. The motivation for introducing the partition functions Z_n, which are the continuum analogue of the structures mentioned above, is that they should provide insight to the integrable structure in the continuum setting.

The main result of this paper is that the continuum partition functions possess some nice regularity properties.

Theorem 1.1. *For all $n \ge 1$, the function $(t,x,y) \mapsto Z_n(t,x,y)$ has a version that is continuous over $(0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. Moreover,*

$$\mathbb{P}[Z_n(t,x,y) > 0 \textit{ for all } t > 0 \textit{ and } x, y \in \mathbb{R}] = 1.$$

Now define for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

$$h_n(t,x) = \log\left(\frac{Z_n(t,0,x)}{Z_{n-1}(t,0,x)}\right), \tag{7}$$

with the convention that $Z_0 \equiv 1$, then $h_1(t,x)$ is the Cole–Hopf solution to the KPZ equation with narrow wedge initial data. An immediate corollary to the above theorem is

Corollary 1.2. *For all $n \geq 1$, h_n is well defined and it is a continuous function of (t,x) over $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$.*

The collection $\{h_n, n \geq 1\}$ represents a multi-layer extension to the free energy of the continuum directed random polymer. It is the analogue in the setting of the KPZ of the multi-layer PNG or its discrete counterpart studied in [PS02] and [Joh03] respectively.

We mention here the work of [CH13]. The authors showed the existence of a collection of random continuous curves such that the lowest indexed curve is distributed as the time t Cole–Hopf solution to the KPZ with narrow wedge initial data. It is believed (see [CH13, Conjecture 2.17]) that for each $t > 0$ fixed, their collection of curves is equal to $\{h_n(t,x) : n \geq 1, x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ defined by (7). Proving this will give an alternative proof of the continuity and strict positivity of Z_n at a fixed time t. In this paper, we provide a direct proof of this and furthermore our proof gives a stronger result since t can vary over $(0,\infty)$.

The continuity and strict positivity of $u = Z_1$ was proved by considering its mild form which suggests that to prove Theorem 1.1 one could consider the evolution equation satisfied by Z_n. By considering a smooth space-time potential, the authors in [OW11] showed that Z_n should satisfy a certain SPDE, see [OW11, Proposition 3.3 and 3.7], however unfortunately it is not immediately obvious that this SPDE makes sense in the white noise setting. Instead, we shall show that a natural extension of Z_n does satisfy a rigorous evolution equation which can be regarded as a multi-dimensional stochastic heat equation. This allows us to derive the continuity and strict positivity of the extension and from which Theorem 1.1 follows as a corollary.

Denote by W_n the Weyl chamber $\{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1 \geq x_2 \cdots \geq x_n\}$, then for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, $t > 0$ and $\mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{y} \in W_n$ define

$$K_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = p_n^*(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{\Delta_k(t)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} R_k(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y}';t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\, W^{\otimes k}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s},\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}')\right), \tag{8}$$

where R_k is the k-point correlation function of a collection of n non-intersection Brownian bridges which starts at $\mathbf{x}$ at time 0 and ends at $\mathbf{y}$ at time t. $p_n^*(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \det[p_t(x_i - y_j)]_{i,j=1}^n$ is by the Karlin–McGregor formula [KM59] the transition density of Brownian motion killed at the boundary of W_n. It was proved in [OW11, Proposition 3.2] that K_n also satisfies a Karlin–McGregor type formula:

$$K_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \det[u(t,x_i,y_j)]_{i,j=1}^n, \tag{9}$$

where each term in the determinant are solutions to (2) each driven by the same white noise. Now, define for $t > 0$, $\mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{y} \in W_n^\circ$

$$M_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \frac{K_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})}{\Delta(\mathbf{x})\Delta(\mathbf{y})}, \tag{10}$$

where $\Delta(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{1\leq i<j\leq n}(x_i - x_j)$ is the Vandermonde determinant. It follows from (8) that M_n has chaos expansion

$$M_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \frac{p_n^*(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})}{\Delta(x)\Delta(y)}\left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{\Delta_k(t)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} R_k(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y}';t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\, W^{\otimes k}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s},\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}')\right). \tag{11}$$

By (9) and the continuity of the solution to the stochastic heat equation, it is easy to see that $K_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ is almost surely continuous on $(0,t)\times W_n\times W_n$ and is zero on the boundary of $W_n\times W_n$. It follows that $M_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ is continuous in the interior $W_n^\circ\times W_n^\circ$. By [BBO09, Lemma 5.11], $p_n^*(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})/\Delta(\mathbf{x})\Delta(\mathbf{y})$ is a smooth function of $(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ over $\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n$ and since the k-point correlation function R_k extends continuously to the boundary of the Weyl chamber, see Section 2.4, we see from its chaos expansion (11) that $M_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ is defined for $\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\in\partial W_n$. This also suggests that $M_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ is a continuous function on $W_n\times W_n$. Furthermore, from (9) we see that M_n being a ratio of determinants is a permutation symmetric function of its spatial variables, that is for any permutations π, σ of $\{1,\dots,n\}$, $M_n(t,\pi\mathbf{x},\sigma\mathbf{y})=M_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$. Hence, we can extend M_n by symmetry to a function on $\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n$ and we will show that there exists a version of M_n that is almost surely strictly positive and continuous on the whole of $\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n$ and for all $t>0$. Moreover, when all the $\mathbf{x}$ coordinates are equal and likewise for $\mathbf{y}$, M_n agrees up to a multiplicative constant with Z_n, that is

$$M_n(t,a\mathbf{1},b\mathbf{1})=c_{n,t}Z_n(t,a,b),\tag{12}$$

where $c_{n,t}:=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}i!\right)^{-1}t^{-n(n-1)/2}$ and $\mathbf{1}=(1,\dots,1)$. Equation (12) was shown to hold in [OW11] but there the continuity of M_n on the boundary of W_n was only established in an L^2 sense; here we extend it to almost sure continuity. Note that (9) suggests that $K_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ and $M_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ can be regarded as the stochastic analogue of $p_n^*(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ and $p_n^*(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})/\Delta(\mathbf{x})\Delta(\mathbf{y})$ respectively where the latter has limit at the boundary equal to $c_{n,t}p_t(a-b)^n$.

In Section 4, we will show that for all $(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\in(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n$, $M_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ satisfies almost surely the mild equation

$$\begin{aligned}M_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})&=\frac{p_n^*(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})}{\Delta(\mathbf{x})\Delta(\mathbf{y})}+A_n\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}Q_{t-s}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}')M_n(s,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}')\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}'_*\,W(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}y'_1)\\&=:J_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})+I_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}),\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

where $A_n=1/(n-1)!$ is a combinatorial constant, $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}'_*=\mathrm{d}y_2\dots\mathrm{d}y_n$ and

$$Q_t(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})=\frac{\Delta(\mathbf{y})}{\Delta(\mathbf{x})}p_n^*(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}),$$

is the transition density of Dyson's Brownian motion starting from $\mathbf{x}\in W_n$ and ending at $\mathbf{y}\in W_n$ and it satisfies

$$Q_t(a\mathbf{1},\mathbf{y})=c_{n,t}\Delta(\mathbf{y})^2\prod_{i=1}^n p_t(y_i-a).\tag{14}$$

We can extend Q_t by symmetry to a function on $\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n$ and so the integral over $\mathbb{R}^n$ in the mild equation (13) is defined.

Consider also the following integral equation for $(t,\mathbf{y})\in(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}M_n(t,\mathbf{y})&=\frac{1}{n!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}g(\mathbf{y}')Q_t(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}')\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}'\\&\quad+A_n\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}Q_{t-s}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}')M_n(s,\mathbf{y}')\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}'_*\,W(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}y'_1)\\&=:J_n(t,\mathbf{y})+I_n(t,\mathbf{y}),\end{aligned}\tag{15}$$

where $g:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}$ is permutation symmetric and may be random but independent of the white noise. The function g is the initial condition for equation (15) in the sense that

$$\lim_{t\to0}\frac{1}{n!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}g(\mathbf{y}')Q_t(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}')\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}'=\lim_{t\to0}\int_{W_n}g(\mathbf{y}')Q_t(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}')\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}'=g(\mathbf{y}).$$

On the other hand, we say that $M_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ is the solution started from a delta initial data at $\mathbf{x}$ even though strictly speaking it is the ratio of $K_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$, which can be shown to satisfy an integral equation similar to (15) with delta initial condition, and the product of Vandermonde determinants $\Delta(\mathbf{x})\Delta(\mathbf{y})$. To emphasise the initial data we sometimes write $M_n^g(t,\mathbf{y})$ instead of $M_n(t,\mathbf{y})$.

We now state the main results regarding the solutions of (13) and (15) from which Theorem 1.1 follows as a corollary by (12). Let $\mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R})$ be the collection of Borel measurable subsets of $\mathbb{R}$ with finite Lebesgue measure and let $W = \big(W_t(A), t \geq 0, A \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R})\big)$ be space-time white noise on a complete probability space $(\Omega,\mathscr{F},\mathbb{P})$ endowed with a right-continuous filtration $(\mathscr{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ such that W is $\mathscr{F}_t$-adapted and $W_t(A) - W_s(A)$ is independent of $\mathscr{F}_s$ for all $A \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R})$. From now on we fix this filtered probability space $(\Omega,\mathscr{F},(\mathscr{F}_t)_{t\geq 0},\mathbb{P})$. We use $\mathbb{E}$ to denote the expectation with repect to $\mathbb{P}$ and for $p \geq 1$, $\|\cdot\|_p = (\mathbb{E}[|\cdot|^p])^{1/p}$ denotes the $L^p(\Omega)$ norm. Throughout this paper, $c_p \leq 2\sqrt{p}$ is the constant appearing in the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality.

Theorem 1.3. (a) *Suppose that g is $\mathscr{F}_0$-measurable and symmetric and satisfies for all $p \geq 2$, $\sup_{\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{R}^n} \|g(\mathbf{y})\|_p \leq K_{p,g} < \infty$, then there exists a solution $\big(M_n(t,\mathbf{y}), (t,\mathbf{y}) \in [0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n\big)$ to the integral equation (15) that is unique (in the sense of versions) in the class of all random fields $\big(v(t,\mathbf{y}), (t,\mathbf{y}) \in [0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n\big)$ that satisfy $\sup_{(t,\mathbf{y})\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n} \|v(t,\mathbf{y})\|_p < \infty$ for all $T > 0$. The solution satisfies for all $p \geq 2$*

$$\|M_n(t,\mathbf{y})\|_p^2 < 2K_{p,g}^2 e^{A^2 c_p^4 t}\big(1 + \mathrm{erf}(Ac_p^2 t^{1/2})\big), \tag{16}$$

for a constant $A > 0$ depending on n.

Moreover, M_n has a version such that $(t,\mathbf{y}) \mapsto M_n(t,\mathbf{y})$ is locally Hölder continuous on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n$ with indices $\alpha < 1/2$ in space and $\alpha < 1/4$ in time.

(b) *There exists a unique solution $\big(M_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \in (0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n\big)$ given by the chaos expansion (11) to the integral equation (13) such that for all $p \geq 2$ and $t > 0$*

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{R}^n} \|M_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\|_p^2 \leq C_{n,p} t^{-n^2}, \tag{17}$$

for some constant $C_{n,p} > 0$.

Moreover, M_n has a version such that $(t,x,y) \mapsto M_n(t,x,y)$ is locally Hölder continuous on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n$ with indices $\alpha < 1/2$ in space and $\alpha < 1/4$ in time.

Theorem 1.4. *Let g be as in Theorem 1.3(a) with the additional property that g is non-negative almost surely and $\mathbb{P}[g(\mathbf{y}) > 0$ for some $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n] = 1$. Then the solution M_n^g to (15) satisfies*

$$\mathbb{P}[M_n^g(t,\mathbf{y}) > 0 \text{ for all } t > 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n] = 1.$$

Let M_n be the random field defined by (11) then

$$\mathbb{P}[M_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) > 0 \text{ for all } t > 0 \text{ and } \mathbf{x},\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n] = 1.$$

Comparing (13) and (15) with (3), we see that they have a similar form to the mild equation of the SHE which has been well studied. It has been shown for various initial data that the solution is Hölder continuous with indices up to 1/2 in space and up to 1/4 in time. For example, the case with a bounded initial data was studied by Walsh in [Wal86]. Bertini and Cancrini stated the Hölder continuity in [BC95] for a class of initial data which includes a delta function. More recently, Chen and Dalang [CD14a] proved the Hölder continuity for a non-linear SHE with initial data μ being a signed Borel measure over $\mathbb{R}$ such that $(|\mu| * p_t)(x) < \infty$ for all $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. For other variants of the SHE see for example [CJKS14], [Shi94], [SSS02] and the references therein.

In each case the tool used to prove the continuity of the solution is Kolmogorov's continuity criterion. Denote the stochastic integral term of (3) by $I(t, y)$ then the key is to show that

$$\mathbb{E}[|I(t, y) - I(t', y')|^p] \leq C\big(|y - y'|^{p/2} + |t - t'|^{p/4}\big),$$

for p large enough. This in turn requires showing some continuity estimate for the heat kernel and in our case, estimates for the kernel Q_t, see Theorem 3.1 below. These estimates get increasingly involved for increasingly less regular initial data due to the pth moments $\mathbb{E}[|u(t, y)|^p]$ of the solution being unbounded as $t \downarrow 0$ or as $y \to \infty$ or both. However for certain initial data such as a delta function, even though the pth moments blow up as time $t \downarrow 0$, they are for any fixed positive times uniformly bounded in space and thus one can in effect isolate the effects of the initial data by solving the equation for a small time and then start afresh with the current solution as the new initial condition. This is the case with $M_n(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$. We will show that for all positive times t, $\mathbb{E}[|M_n(t, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})|^p]$ is bounded uniformly in space for all p which puts us in the situation of (15) with g having uniformly bounded pth moments for which continuity is easier to obtain.

The strict positivity of the solution to the stochastic heat equation was first proved by Mueller in [Mue91]. He showed that if the initial data f is non-negative, continuous with compact support with $f(x) > 0$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then for all $t > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}[u(t, x) > 0 \text{ for every } x \in \mathbb{R}] = 1.$$

Bertini and Cancrini proved a weak comparison principle using the Feynman–Kac formula and used it to extend Mueller's result to a delta type initial data. Shiga in [Shi94] proved the stronger statement

$$\mathbb{P}[u(t, x) > 0 \text{ for every } x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and every } t > 0] = 1,$$

for initial data being continuous function such that the tails grow no faster than $e^{\lambda|x|}$ for all $\lambda > 0$. More recently, Moreno Flores in [Flo14] proved the strict positivity of the solution for delta initial conditions, using a convergence result of a discrete polymer model to the SHE, see [AKQ14b]. Chen and Kim [CK14] further generalised the strict positivity result to the fractional SHE, which includes as a special case the SHE considered here, for measure-valued initial data by adapting Shiga's method.

In all of the proofs above (except for the polymer proof) a key result is a large deviation estimate on the stochastic integral term of the solution. Mueller proved such result using the fact that integrals of the type $\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s, y)\, W(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}y)$ can be considered as a time-changed Brownian motion. Chen and Kim using a method of [CJK12] derived a similar estimate for the fractional SHE using Kolmogorov's continuity criterion. We will adapt the approach of [CK14] since we will first derive the necessary estimates in order to prove Hölder continuity anyway.

The outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2.1 we first briefly recall integration with respect to space-time white noise and multiple stochastic integrals. In Section 2.2 we derive an upper bound on the $L^p(\Omega)$ norm of stochastic integrals which will be used repeatedly in this paper and we discuss briefly non-intersecting Brownian bridges in Section 2.4. We then prove some estimates on the transition density Q_t in Section 3 which are central to the proof of existence and continuity. The existence, uniqueness and moment estimates part of Theorem 1.3 will be proved in Section 4. The proof of Hölder continuity is in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6 we prove a strong comparison principle for the integral equation (15) of which Theorem 1.4 is a corollary.

Acknowledgements. C.H.L would like to thank Roger Tribe for numerous helpful discussions on SPDEs. The research of C.H.L was supported by EPSRC grant number EP/H023364/1 through the MASDOC DTC.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. White Noise and Stochastic Integration.

In this section we briefly recall the Walsh stochastic integral with respect to white noise, see for example [Wal86], [Kho09] and [Dal99] for details. Let $\mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the collection of Borel measurable subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with finite Lebesgue measure. A *white noise* on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is a mean zero Gaussian random field $\{\dot{W}(A)\}_{A\in\mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ with covariance function

$$\mathbb{E}[\dot{W}(A)\dot{W}(B)] = |A\cap B|, \quad \text{for all } A, B \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$. We will only consider the case $d = 2$ and we interpret one of the dimensions as time. More precisely, we define a *space-time white noise* $\big(W_t(A), t \geq 0, A \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R})\big)$ by $W_t(A) := \dot{W}([0,t]\times A)$ on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, (\mathscr{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}, \mathbb{P})$ as described above Theorem 1.3.

A random field f is elementary if it is of the form

$$f(s,y) = X 1_{(a,b]}(s) 1_A(y),$$

where X is bounded and $\mathscr{F}_a$-measurable and $A \in \mathscr{B}(\mathbb{R})$. A simple function is a finite linear combination of elementary functions. We say that a random field f is predictable if it is measurable with respect to the σ-algebra generated by the simple functions and we say that $f \in \mathscr{P}_2$ if it is predictable and $f \in L^2(\Omega\times[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R})$. According to Walsh's theory, [Wal86], $\{W_t(A)\}$ belongs to a suitable class of integrators called worthy martingale measures and the integral

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s,y)\, W(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}y),$$

is defined for all $f \in \mathscr{P}_2$.

Now we turn our attention to multiple stochastic integrals which appear in the chaos series in the introduction. Let $k > 1$ and let $f \in L^2_S([0,t]^k\times\mathbb{R}^k)$ such that $f(\pi\mathbf{s}, \pi\mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y})$ for all $(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y}) \in [0,t]^k\times\mathbb{R}^k$ and $\pi \in S_k$ where S_k is the set of permutations of $\{1,\dots,k\}$ and $\pi\mathbf{s} = (s_{\pi 1},\dots,s_{\pi k})$. Let $A_1,\dots,A_k$ be disjoint subsets of $[0,t]\times\mathbb{R}$. An elementary function in $L^2_S([0,t]^k\times\mathbb{R}^k)$ is a function of the form

$$f(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y}) = \sum_{\pi\in S_k}\prod_{i=1}^k 1\{(s_{\pi i}, y_{\pi i}) \in A_i\}. \tag{18}$$

For such f we define the k-fold integral by

$$(f\cdot W)_k(t) = \int_{[0,t]^k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y})\, W^{\otimes k}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}) = k!\prod_{i=1}^k \dot{W}(A_i).$$

It can be shown that linear combinations of functions of the form (18) are dense in $L^2_S([0,t]^k\times\mathbb{R}^k)$ and that for an elementary f, the integral $(f\cdot W)_k$ satisfies an Itô isometry, hence for a general $f \in L^2_S([0,t]^k\times\mathbb{R}^k)$, we define $(f\cdot W)_k = \lim_{n\to\infty}(f_n\cdot W)_k$ where $\{f_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a sequence of elementary functions such that $f_n \to f$ in $L^2([0,t]^k\times\mathbb{R}^k)$. The resulting integral is a mean zero random variable with covariance given by

$$\mathbb{E}[(f\cdot W)_k(t)(g\cdot W)_k(t)] = (f,g)_{L^2([0,t]^k\times\mathbb{R}^k)}. \tag{19}$$

For $f \in L^2([0,t]^k \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ that are not symmetric, we define its integral by first symmetrising f via

$$\tilde{f}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y}) := \frac{1}{k!}\sum_{\pi \in S_k} f(\pi\mathbf{s},\pi\mathbf{y}),$$

and then define

$$(f \cdot W)_k(t) = (\tilde{f} \cdot W)_k(t).$$

Finally, for functions f defined on $\Delta_k(t) \times \mathbb{R}^k$, for example the k-point correlation function R_k appearing in (1) and (8), we first extend it to a function on $[0,t]^k$ by setting it to be zero for $\mathbf{s} \notin \Delta_k(t)$ and then define

$$\int_{\Delta_k(t)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y})\, W^{\otimes k}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s},\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}) := (\tilde{f} \cdot W)_k(t).$$

Now define a time reversed white noise $\tilde{W}$ by $\tilde{W}([0,s] \times A) = \dot{W}([t-s,t] \times A)$, $s \le t$ and $A \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R})$. We will need the following result for the proof of continuity in the initial data.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f \in L^2_S([0,t]^k \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ then*

$$\int_{[0,t]^k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y})\, W^{\otimes k}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s},\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}) = \int_{[0,t]^k}\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f(t-\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y})\, \tilde{W}^{\otimes k}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s},\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}) \quad \text{a.s.},$$

where $t - \mathbf{s} = (t - s_1, \dots, t - s_k)$.

Proof. The result in the case when f is an elementary function of the form (18) follows from the definition of the integral and the definition of $\tilde{W}$. For general $f \in L^2_S([0,t]^k \times \mathbb{R}^k)$, let $\{f_n\}_{n\ge 1}$ be a sequence of elementary functions converging to f. The result of the lemma holds for $(f_n \cdot W)_k(t)$ for all n and by taking limits we see that the result also holds for $(f \cdot W)_k(t)$. ☐

2.2. L^p **Bounds on Stochastic Integrals.** The following estimate is a useful bound on the $L^p(\Omega)$ norm of stochastic integrals; it can be considered as a version of [CK12, Lemma 2.2] or [FK09, Lemma 3.3] adapted to the present setting. Recall that for brevity we denote $\mathbf{y}'_* = \mathrm{d}y'_2 \dots \mathrm{d}y'_n$ and $c_p \le 2\sqrt{p}$ is the constant appearing in the Burkhoider–Davis–Gundy inequality.

Lemma 2.2. *Define a random field $\big(f(t,\mathbf{y}); (t,\mathbf{y}) \in (0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n\big)$ by*

$$f(t,\mathbf{y}) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma_{t-s}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}')w(s,\mathbf{y}')\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}'_*\, W(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}y'_1),$$

for a suitable random field w and $\Gamma_t(y,y')$ is a non-random measurable function on $(0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \Gamma_{t-s}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}')w(s,\mathbf{y}')\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}'_*$ is integrable in the sense of Walsh for all $(t,\mathbf{y}) \in (0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Then for all integers $p \ge 2$, $t \ge 0$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\|f(t,\mathbf{y})\|_p^2 \le c_p^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \Gamma_{t-s}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}')\|w(s,\mathbf{y}')\|_p\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}'_* \right)^2 \mathrm{d}y'_1 \mathrm{d}s.$$

Proof. Fix t and $\mathbf{y}$, then by the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality applied to the martingale $\big(\int_0^r \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma_{t-s}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}')w(s,\mathbf{y}')\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}'_*\, W(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}y'_1),\ r \in [0,t]\big)$, we have

$$\|f(t,\mathbf{y})\|_p^2 \le c_p^2 \left\| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \Gamma_{t-s}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}')w(s,\mathbf{y}')\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}'_* \right)^2 \mathrm{d}y'_1 \mathrm{d}s \right\|_{p/2}.$$

Applying Minkowski's integral inequality [Kal02, Corollary 1.30] twice, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|f(t,\mathbf{y})\|_p^2 &\le c_p^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \Gamma_{t-s}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}')w(s,\mathbf{y}')\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}'_* \right\|_p^2 \mathrm{d}y'_1\mathrm{d}s \\ &\le c_p^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \Gamma_{t-s}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}')\|w(s,\mathbf{y}')\|_p\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}'_* \right)^2 \mathrm{d}y'_1\mathrm{d}s,\end{aligned}$$

as required. ☐

Lemma 2.3. *For all $k \ge 1$ and $f \in L^2(\Delta_k(t)\times\mathbb{R}^k)$ we have*

$$\left\| \int_{\Delta_k(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y})\,W^{\otimes k}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s},\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}) \right\|_p^2 \le c_p^k \int_{\Delta_k(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y})^2\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}.$$

Proof. Since multiple stochastic integrals on $\Delta_k(t)$ coincides with iterated stochastic integrals, applying Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality and Minkowski's integral inequality k times gives the desired upper bound. ☐

2.3. **Predictability of Random Fields.** Recall that the Walsh integral is defined for random fields in $\mathscr{P}_2$, see Section 2.1 above, therefore it is convenient to have a set of conditions to verify the predictability of a random field. The following result is from [CD14b, Proposition 3.1] which is an extension of [DF98, Proposition 2] to space-time white noise.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $t > 0$ and suppose a random field $\big(f(s,y),(s,y)\in(0,t)\times\mathbb{R}\big)$ satisfies*

- (i) *f is adapted, that is for all $(s,y)\in(0,t)\times\mathbb{R}$, $f(s,y)$ is $\mathscr{F}_s$-measurable;*
- (ii) *for all $(s,y)\in(0,t)\times\mathbb{R}$, $\|f(s,y)\|_2<\infty$ and $(s,y)\mapsto f(s,y)$ is $L^2(\Omega)$-continuous on $(0,t)\times\mathbb{R}$;*
- iii) *$\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\|f(s,y)\|_2^2\,\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}s<\infty$.*

Then $f(\cdot,\cdot)1_{(0,t)}(\cdot)\in\mathscr{P}_2$ and

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s,y)\,W(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}y),$$

is a well-defined Walsh integral.

In the sequel we will need to integrate functions of the form: for some random field M, let $f(s,y'_1)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}Q_{t-s}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}')M(s,\mathbf{y}')\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}'_*$. (Note that we have suppressed the dependency of f on t and $\mathbf{y}$ to keep the notation simple). The following proposition provides convenient conditions to verify the integrability of such a random field.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $t>0$ and $\mathbf{y}\in\mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose the random field $\big(M(s,\mathbf{y}'),(s,\mathbf{y}')\in(0,t)\times\mathbb{R}^n\big)$ satisfies*

- (i) *M is adapted i.e., for all $(s,\mathbf{y}')\in(0,t)\times\mathbb{R}^n$, $M(s,\mathbf{y}')$ is $\mathscr{F}_s$-measurable;*
- (ii) *$(t,\mathbf{y}')\mapsto M(s,\mathbf{y}')$ is $L^2(\Omega)$-continuous on $(0,t)\times\mathbb{R}^n$;*
- (iii) *$\sup_{(s,\mathbf{y}')\in(0,t)\times\mathbb{R}^n}\|M(s,\mathbf{y}')\|_2<\infty$;*

Then $\big(f(s,z),(s,z)\in(0,t)\times\mathbb{R}\big)$ defined by $f(s,y'_1)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}Q_{t-s}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}')M(s,\mathbf{y}')\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}'_*$ is in $\mathscr{P}_2$ and

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(s,y'_1)\,W(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}y'_1),$$

is a well-defined Walsh integral.

Proof. We will show that f satisfies the three assumptions of Proposition 2.4. Since $Q_{t-s}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}')$ is continuous and deterministic, $Q_{t-s}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}')M(s,\mathbf{y}')$ is adapted by (i) and so the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} Q_{t-s}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}')M(s,\mathbf{y}')\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}'_*$ is also adapted. Assumption (iii) of Proposition 2.4 follows from (iii) above since by Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.8 below, we have for some constant C

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \|f(s,y_1')\|_2^2 \,\mathrm{d}y_1'\mathrm{d}s \\
&\qquad\qquad \leq \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} Q_{t-s}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}')\|M(s,\mathbf{y}')\|_2 \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}'_* \right)^2 \mathrm{d}y_1'\mathrm{d}s \\
&\qquad\qquad \leq \sup_{(s,\mathbf{y}')\in(0,t)\times\mathbb{R}^n} \|M(s,\mathbf{y}')\|_2^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} Q_{t-s}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{y}') \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}'_* \right)^2 \mathrm{d}y_1'\mathrm{d}s \\
&\qquad\qquad \leq 2Ct^{1/2} \sup_{(s,\mathbf{y}')\in(0,t)\times\mathbb{R}^n} \|M(s,\mathbf{y}')\|_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to show the $L^2(\Omega)$-continuity of f. We wish to show that for each $(s,y)\in(0,t)\times\mathbb{R}$, $\lim_{(u,z)\to(s,y)} \|f(u,z)-f(s,y)\|_2 = 0$. Let $h>0$ and suppose $z_1 \in [y_1'-h, y_1'+h]$ and $u\in[s/2,(t+s)/2]$. Then by the Harish–Chandra formula (22) and equation (23) below, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{t-u}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}) &\leq c_n(t-u)^{-n^2/2}\Delta(\mathbf{z})^2 \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-(y_i-z_i)^2/2(t-u)} \\
&\leq \frac{2^{n^2/2}c_n}{(t-s)^{n^2/2}} \prod_{2\leq i<j\leq n} (z_i-z_j)^2 \prod_{i=2}^n (|y_1'+h|+|z_i|)^2 e^{-\frac{(y_i-z_i)^2}{2(t-s/2)}} e^{-\frac{y_1^2-2|y_1'+h|y_1}{2(t-s/2)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

The last line is integrable with respect to $\mathrm{d}\mathbf{z}_* = \mathrm{d}z_2\ldots\mathrm{d}z_n$ and so by the dominated convergence theorem, the continuity of Q_t and assumption (ii), the right hand side of

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|f(u,z_1) - f(s,y_1')\|_2 \\
&\qquad \leq \sup_{(s,\mathbf{y})} \|M(s,\mathbf{y})\|_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \left| Q_{t-u}\big(\mathbf{y},(z_1,\mathbf{z}_*)\big) - Q_{t-s}\big(\mathbf{y},(y_1',\mathbf{z}_*)\big) \right| \mathrm{d}\mathbf{z}_* \right)^{1/2} \\
&\qquad\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} Q_{t-u}\big(\mathbf{y},(y_1',\mathbf{z}_*)\big) \|M\big(s,(z_1,\mathbf{z}_*)\big) - M\big(s,(y_1',\mathbf{z}_*)\big)\|_2 \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{z}_*
\end{aligned}$$

converges to zero as $(u,z_1)\to(s,y_1')$. Finally, an application of Proposition 2.4 completes the proof. ☐

2.4. **Non-intersecting Brownian Motions.**

Dyson Brownian motion introduced in [Dys62] can be realised as the eigenvalues of Hermitian Brownian motion, an $n\times n$ Hermitian matrix whose entries are (up to the Hermitian condition) independent standard complex Brownian motions. The eigenvalues of such a matrix is a Markov process with state space W_n with transition density $Q_t(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$. It also arises as the Doob h-transform of Brownian motion killed at the boundary ∂W_n with $h(\mathbf{x}) = \Delta(\mathbf{x})$ (see for example [Gra99] and [KT07]).

One can construct bridges of Dyson Brownian motion, which we will call Dyson Brownian bridge or non-intersecting Brownian bridges, using the framework of [FPY93]. For $\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\in W_n$, a collection of non-intersecting Brownian bridges $X_t = (X_t^1,\ldots,X_t^n)$ starting at $\mathbf{x}$ at time 0 and ending at $\mathbf{y}$ in time t is a process whose law is absolutely continuous to that of Dyson Brownian motion started at $\mathbf{x}$ with Radon–Nikodym derivative equal to

$$\frac{Q_{t-s}(X_s,\mathbf{y})}{Q_t(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})}.$$

In particular, for $0<s_1<\ldots<s_k<t$, the law of $(X_{s_1},\ldots,X_{s_k})$ is given by the density

$$\frac{Q_{s_1}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}^1)\prod_{i=2}^k Q_{s_i-s_{i-1}}(\mathbf{y}^{i-1},\mathbf{y}^i)Q_{t-s_k}(\mathbf{y}^k,\mathbf{y})}{Q_t(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})}$$

The above is well defined at the boundary of the Weyl chamber by (14); in particular, taking limits as $\mathbf{x}\to a\mathbf{1}$, $\mathbf{y}\to b\mathbf{1}$ where $\mathbf{1}=(1,\ldots,1)$ one obtains

$$c_n\frac{\Delta(\mathbf{y}^1)\Delta(\mathbf{y}^k)\prod_{j=1}^n p_{s_1}(a-y^1_j)\prod_{i=2}^k p^*_n(s_i-s_{i-1},\mathbf{y}^{i-1},\mathbf{y}^i)\prod_{j=1}^n p_{t-s_k}(b-y^k_j)}{s_1^{n(n-1)/2}(t-s_k)^{n(n-1)/2}t^{-n(n-1)/2}p_t(a-b)^n},$$

where $c_n^{-1}=\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}i!$. The k-point correlation R_k appearing in (8) is defined as the sum over $i_1,\ldots,i_k$ for $1\le i_r\le n$, $1\le r\le k$ of the densities of the process $(X^{i_1}_{s_1},\ldots,X^{i_k}_{s_k})$:

$$\sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}\int_{(W_{n-1})^k}\frac{p^*_n(s_1,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}^1)\prod_{i=2}^k p^*_n(s_i-s_{i-1},\mathbf{y}^{i-1},\mathbf{y}^i)p^*_n(t-s_k,\mathbf{y}^k,\mathbf{y})}{p^*_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})}\prod_{l=1}^k\prod_{j\neq i_l}^n \mathrm{d}y^l_j$$

Notice that the integrand above is symmetric in the permutation of its arguments $(y^l_1,\ldots,y^l_n)$ for all $1\le l\le k$ and so we can rewrite each integral over W_{n-1} as integrals over $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ multiplied by a factor of $1/n!$. Moreover, by symmetry each term in the sum over $i_1,\ldots,i_k$ gives the same contribution. There are in total n^k of such k-tuples and hence we can rewrite the correlation function $R_k\big((s_1,y^1_1),\ldots,(s_k,y^k_1);t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\big):=R_k(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y}_1;t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$, $\mathbf{y}_1=(y^1_1,\ldots,y^k_1)$ as

$$A^k_n\int_{(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})^k}\frac{p^*_n(s_1,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}^1)\prod_{i=2}^k p^*_n(s_i-s_{i-1},\mathbf{y}^{i-1},\mathbf{y}^i)p^*_n(t-s_k,\mathbf{y}^k,\mathbf{y})}{p^*_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})}\prod_{i=1}^k\prod_{j=2}^n \mathrm{d}y^i_j,\tag{20}$$

where $A_n:=1/(n-1)!$. For each k we have chosen to leave the first coordinate of y^k and integrated out the rest but this choice is arbitrary by symmetry. Note that this is also the reason for the form of the stochastic integral term in (13).

In the sequel we will need to bound integrals of the square of the k-point correlation function R_k. Correlation functions of densities given by a product of determinants have been studied extensively in the context of determinantal point processes, see for example [Joh06] and [Bor11]. They can be expressed as a determinant of a matrix whose entries are given by some kernel function. However for general start and end points $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ this kernel function is difficult to compute, but since all we need is the integral of the square of R_k it is not necessary to compute R_k explicitly and so we will not pursue this. Instead, the next two results proved in [OW11] which expresses the integral of R^2_k in terms of intersection local times of Brownian bridges will be used. Let $X=(X^1,\ldots,X^n)$ and $Y=(Y^1,\ldots,Y^n)$ be two independent copies of a collection of n non-intersecting Brownian bridges which start at $\mathbf{x}$ at time 0 and end at $\mathbf{y}$ at time t and let $\mathbb{E}^{X,Y}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y};t}$ denote the corresponding expectation of the joint law of the bridges. Let $L_t(X^i-Y^j)$ be the local time at 0 of the difference X^i-X^j. Then we have

Lemma 2.6. *Fix $n\ge 1$. For all integers $k\ge 1$ and all $t>0$, $\mathbf{x}$, $\mathbf{y}\in W_n$ the following holds*

$$\int_{\Delta_k(t)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^k}R_k(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y}';t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})^2\,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}'\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}=\frac{1}{k!}\mathbb{E}^{X,Y}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y};t}\Big[\Big(\sum_{i,j=1}^n L_t(X^i-Y^j)\Big)^k\Big].$$

The following is used to bound the above moments of local times.

Lemma 2.7. *For all $a \geq 1$ and $t > 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\in W_n} \left(\frac{p_n^*(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})}{\Delta(\mathbf{x})\Delta(\mathbf{y})}\right)^2 \mathbb{E}^{X,Y}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y};t}\Big[\exp\Big(a\sum_{i,j=1}^n L_t(X^i - Y^j)\Big)\Big] \leq Ct^{-n^2}.$$

The above two lemmata shows that for each $t > 0$, $\|Z_n(t,x,y)\|_2 < \infty$ uniformly in x and y and thus the chaos series (1) is convergent in $L^2(\Omega)$. The same is also true for (8). We point out here that the bound on the pth moments of $M_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})$ can in fact be written as

$$\|M_n(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})\|_p^2 \leq 2\left(\frac{p_n^*(t,\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})}{\Delta(\mathbf{x})\Delta(\mathbf{y})}\right)^2 \mathbb{E}^{X,Y}_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y};t}\Big[\exp\Big(2c_p^2\sum_{i,j=1}^n L_t(X^i - Y^j)\Big)\Big]. \tag{21}$$

The bound (17) in Theorem 1.3(b) then follows from the above by Lemma 2.7.

3. Estimates on Q_t

From now on we drop the bold typeface for vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$ or W_n since we will only be working with functions of multi-dimensional spatial variables so there is no longer any risk of confusion.

Before proving Theorem 1.3 we need estimates on various quantities involving the kernel Q_t. The following known as the Harish–Chandra/Itzykson–Zuber formula [IZ80] provides a useful alternate expression for Q_t:

$$\frac{\det[e^{x_i y_j}]}{\Delta(x)\Delta(y)} = c_n \int_{\mathcal{U}(n)} \exp\left(\mathrm{Tr}\ YUXU^\dagger\right) \mathrm{d}U, \tag{22}$$

for Hermitian matrices X and Y with eigenvalues $x_1,\ldots,x_n$ and $y_1,\ldots,y_n$ respectively. $c_n = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} i!\right)^{-1}$ and the integral is with respect to the normalised Haar measure on the unitary group $\mathcal{U}(n)$. Furthermore, the integrand above is bounded uniformly in U as the following bound from [MRTZ06, Lemma 1] shows

$$\sup_{U\in\mathcal{U}(n)} \exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}(D_y - UD_xU^\dagger)^2\Big) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-(y_i - x_i)^2/2}. \tag{23}$$

As mentioned in the introduction, $Q_t(x,y)$ is well defined on the boundary of the Weyl chamber and since it is a product and ratio of determinants, it is permutation invariant and so we can extend Q_t to a function on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ by symmetry. Denote $K_t(x,y_1) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} Q_t(x,y) \prod_{i=2}^n \mathrm{d}y_i$ and $K := K_1$. The following result strongly indicates the continuity of M_n; in fact it is a key estimate in its proof in Section 5.

Theorem 3.1. (a) *There is a constant $C_1 > 0$ depending only on n such that for all $t > 0$ and x, $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have*

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \big(K_s(x,y) - K_s(z,y)\big)^2 \,\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}s \leq C_1|x - z|,$$

(b) *for all $\alpha < 1/2$ and $T > 0$ there are positive constants $C_2 := C_2(\alpha,n,T)$ and $C_3 := C_3(n)$ such that for all t,u with $0 < u \leq t \leq T$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have*

$$\int_0^u \int_{\mathbb{R}} \big(K_{t-u+s}(x,y) - K_s(x,y)\big)^2 \,\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}s \leq C_2|t-u|^\alpha,$$

and

$$\int_u^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{t-s}(x,y)^2 \,\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}s \leq C_3|t-u|^{1/2}.$$

The theorem is a consequence of the series of results below. First observe that Q_t has the following scaling property:

$$Q_t(x,y) = t^{-n/2}\frac{\Delta(y/\sqrt{t})}{\Delta(x/\sqrt{t})}\det\Big[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-(x/\sqrt{t}-y/\sqrt{t})^2/2}\Big] = t^{-n/2}Q_1(x/\sqrt{t}, y/\sqrt{t}). \quad (24)$$

The left hand side of the inequality in Theorem 3.1(a) is bounded above by

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} Q_s(x,y) - Q_s(z,y)\prod_{i=2}^n y_i\Big)^2 \,\mathrm{d}y_1\mathrm{d}s\\ &\quad = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} Q_1(x/\sqrt{s},y') - Q_1(z/\sqrt{s},y')\prod_{i=2}^n \mathrm{d}y_i'\Big)^2 \mathrm{d}y_1'\mathrm{d}s,\end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where we have changed the integration region to $[0,\infty)$ in the time integral which results in an upper bound due to the positivity of the integrand. The equality follows from the scaling property (24) and a change of variables. Theorem 3.1(a) follows from (25) and Lemma 3.2 below.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose a function $R(x,y) : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfies for some constants c_1, $c_2 > 0$*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \big(R(x,y) - R(z,y)\big)^2 \,\mathrm{d}y \le \min(c_1, c_2|x-z|^2), \quad (26)$$

for any x, $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \big(R(x/\sqrt{t},y) - R(z/\sqrt{t},y)\big)^2 \,\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}t \le C|x-z|,$$

with $C = 4\sqrt{c_1c_2}$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \big(R(x/\sqrt{t},y) - R(z/\sqrt{t},y)\big)^2 \,\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}t\\ &\qquad \le \int_0^{\frac{c_2}{c_1}|x-z|^2} \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{t}}\,\mathrm{d}t + \int_{\frac{c_2}{c_1}|x-z|^2}^\infty \frac{c_2}{t^{3/2}}|x-z|^2\,\mathrm{d}t = C|x-z|.\end{aligned}$$

□

Thus, we need to show that $K(x,y)$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 3.2. Using the inequality $(a+b)^2 \le 2(a^2+b^2)$, the left hand side of (26) with K in place of R, can be bounded by

$$2\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x,y)^2\,\mathrm{d}y + \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(z,y)^2\,\mathrm{d}y\Big) \le 4\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}\|K(x,\cdot)\|^2_{L^2(\mathrm{d}y)}.$$

On the other hand, let $r(\rho) : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $r(\rho) = (1-\rho)x + \rho z$ be a parameterisation of the straight line from x to z, then

$$K(x,y) - K(z,y) = \int_0^1 \nabla K(r(\rho),y)\cdot r'(\rho)\,\mathrm{d}\rho,$$

where the gradient is with respect to the first variable of $K(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $u \cdot v$ denotes the usual inner product of two vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then by Minkowski's integral inequality and

Cauchy–Schwarz inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \big(K(x,y)-K(z,y)\big)^2 \,\mathrm{d}y\Big)^{1/2} &\leq \int_0^1 \|\nabla K(r(\rho),\cdot)\cdot r'(\rho)\|_{L^2(\mathrm{d}y)} \,\mathrm{d}\rho \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \big\||\nabla K(r(\rho),\cdot)|\big\|_{L^2(\mathrm{d}y)} \,|r'(\rho)| \,\mathrm{d}\rho \\ &\leq \sup_{\rho\in[0,1]} \big\||\nabla K(r(\rho),\cdot)|\big\|_{L^2(\mathrm{d}y)} \,|x-z|.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in order to verify the hypothesis of Lemma 3.2 we need to show that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x,y)^2 \,\mathrm{d}y < \infty, \tag{27}$$

and

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla K(x,y)|^2 \,\mathrm{d}y < \infty. \tag{28}$$

We first concentrate on (28). It suffices to show that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial K}{\partial x_j}(x,y)^2 \,\mathrm{d}y < \infty,$$

for all $j = 1,\dots,n$. Clearly,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial K}{\partial x_j}(x,y)^2 \,\mathrm{d}y \leq \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}} \Big(\frac{\partial K}{\partial x_j}(x,y)\Big) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big|\frac{\partial K}{\partial x_j}(x,y)\Big| \,\mathrm{d}y. \tag{29}$$

Proposition 3.3. *For each $j = 1,\dots n$,*

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Big|\frac{\partial K}{\partial x_j}(x,y)\Big| \,\mathrm{d}y < \infty.$$

Proof. We first assume (and prove later) that we can differentiate under the integral sign, that is

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial x_j}(x,y_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial x_j}(x,y) \,\mathrm{d}y_2\dots\mathrm{d}y_n. \tag{30}$$

By the Harish–Chandra formula (22), $Q_1(x,y)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}Q_1(x,y) &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} c_n \int_{\mathcal{U}(n)} \Delta(y)^2 \exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\,(Y-UXU^\dagger)^2\Big)\,\mathrm{d}U \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n/2} c_n \int_{\mathcal{U}(n)} \Delta(y)^2 \exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\,(D_y-UD_xU^\dagger)^2\Big)\,\mathrm{d}U,\end{aligned}$$

where D_x, D_y are diagonal matrices with the eigenvalues of X and Y as its entries respectively. The second equality follows from the first due to the invariance of Haar measure on $\mathcal{U}(n)$. Observe that by the cyclic property of the trace and the fact that U is unitary, $\mathrm{Tr}\,(D_y-UD_xU^\dagger)^2 = \mathrm{Tr}\,(U^\dagger D_yU-D_x)^2$. Expanding the trace inside the exponential we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}\,(D_y-UD_xU^\dagger)^2 = \mathrm{Tr}\,D_y^2 + \mathrm{Tr}\,D_x^2 - 2\mathrm{Tr}\,D_xU^\dagger D_yU.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial x_j}(x,y) = c_n' \int_{\mathcal{U}(n)} \Delta(y)^2 \big((U^\dagger D_yU)_{jj}-x_j\big) \exp\Big(-\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\,(D_x-U^\dagger D_yU)^2\Big)\,\mathrm{d}U, \tag{31}$$

where $c_n' = (2\pi)^{-n/2} c_n$. For a Hermitian matrix H, one can check that $\mathrm{Tr}\, H^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n h_{ii}^2 + 2\sum_{i<j} |h_{ij}|^2$ and therefore $\mathrm{Tr}\, (D_x - U^\dagger D_y U)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \big(x_i - (U^\dagger D_y U)_{ii}\big)^2 + 2\sum_{i<j} \big|(U^\dagger D_y U)_{ij}\big|^2$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial x_j}(x,y)\right| \\
&\qquad \le C c_n' \int_{\mathcal{U}(n)} \Delta(y)^2 \exp\Big(-\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^n \big((U^\dagger D_y U)_{ii} - x_i\big)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i<j} \big|(U^\dagger D_y U)_{ij}\big|^2\Big)\, \mathrm{d}U,
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where $C = 2\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} x e^{-x^2} = \sqrt{2/e}$. Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left|\frac{\partial K}{\partial x_j}(x,y_1)\right| \mathrm{d}y_1 \le C c_n' \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathcal{U}(n)} \Delta(y)^2 \exp\Big(-\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}\,(U^\dagger D_y U - D_x)^2\Big)\, \mathrm{d}U \prod_{i=1}^n \mathrm{d}y_i.$$

We can make a standard change of variables to the space of $n\times n$ Hermitian matrices $\mathcal{H}(n)$ by the rule $\mathrm{d}Y = Z_n \Delta(y)^2\, \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}U$ where $Z_n = c_n \pi^{n(n-1)/2}$ and $\mathrm{d}Y$ is the product of Lebesgue measures $\prod_{i\le j} \mathrm{d}y_{ij} \prod_{i<j} \mathrm{d}y_{ji}$. The right hand side of the previous display is then equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&2^{-n/2}\pi^{-n^2/2} \int_{\mathcal{H}(n)} e^{-\mathrm{Tr}\,(Y-D_x)^2/4}\, \mathrm{d}Y \\
&\qquad\qquad = 2^{-n/2}\pi^{-n^2/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n^2}} \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-(y_{ii}-x_i)^2/4} \prod_{i<j} e^{-(y_{ij}^2+y_{ji}^2)/2}\, \mathrm{d}Y \le 2^{n^2/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to justify the swapping of the derivative and the integral in (30) and (31). For this we shall use the following result from [Bil95, Theorem 16.8].

Proposition 3.4. *Let (Y,μ) be a measure space. Suppose that $f(x,y)$ is a continuous and integrable function of y for each $x\in I$, where I can be taken to be $\mathbb{R}$ and that for each $y\in Y$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,y)$ exists. If for each x^* there exists a function $g(x^*,y)$ integrable in y such that $\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,y)\right| \le g(x^*,y)$ for all y and all x in some neighbourhood of x^*, then*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\int_Y f(x,y)\,\mu(\mathrm{d}y) = \int_Y \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x,y)\,\mu(\mathrm{d}y).$$

Thus, we need to show that $Q_1(x,y)$ satisfies the hypothesis of the above proposition. Since the function $x\mapsto p_n^*(t,x,y)/\Delta(x)\Delta(y)$ is smooth on $\mathbb{R}^n$, the same is true for $Q_t(x,y)$ so it remains to find a dominating function g.

Firstly, for (31), one can apply Proposition 3.4 with g equal to a constant since $e^{-\mathrm{Tr}\,(D_y - UD_xU^\dagger)^2/2} \le 1$ and $\mathcal{U}(n)$ is compact. For (30), consider the interval $[x_j^*-h, x_j^*+h]$ around a fixed point $x_j^*\in\mathbb{R}$ where $h>0$. Then for $x_j\in[x_j^*-h,x_j^*+h]$, we have

$$e^{-(y_j-x_j)^2/2} = e^{-y_j^2/2}e^{-x_j^2/2}e^{x_jy_j} \le e^{-y_j^2/2}e^{(x_j^*+h)|y_j|} = e^{-(y_j-(x_j^*+h))^2/2}e^{(x_j^*+h)^2/2}.$$

Therefore, for such x_j, we have by the bounds (32) and (23) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial x_j}(x,y)\right| &\le C c_n' \int_{\mathcal{U}(n)} \Delta(y)^2 \exp\Big(-\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{Tr}\,(U^\dagger D_y U - D_x)^2\Big)\, \mathrm{d}U \\
&\le C c_n' \Delta(y)^2 \prod_{i\ne j} e^{-(y_i-x_i)^2/4} e^{-(y_j-(x_j^*+h))^2/4} e^{(x_j^*+h)^2/4} \\
&=: g(x^*,y),
\end{aligned}$$

and g is integrable over $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with respect to $y_2, \dots, y_n$ due to the Gaussian factor. Considering y_1, x_i, $i \neq j$ fixed and applying Proposition 3.4 with the above g proves (30) and hence completes the proof. ☐

Proposition 3.5. *For all* $j = 1, \dots, n$

$$\sup_{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial K}{\partial x_j}(x,y) < \infty.$$

To prove this we shall use the following formula for the one point correlation function K. For $1 \leq N \leq n$ it was shown in [Joh01b, Proposition 2.3] that the N-point correlation function of Q_t is given by a determinant:

$$\frac{n!}{(n-N)!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-N}} Q_t(x,y)\,\mathrm{d}y_{N+1}\dots\mathrm{d}y_n = \det\left[\tilde{K}_t(x,y_i,y_j)\right]_{1\leq i,j\leq N},$$

where

$$\tilde{K}_t(x,u,v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2 t}\int_\gamma \mathrm{d}z\int_{\Gamma_L}\mathrm{d}w\; e^{\frac{1}{2t}(w-v)^2-\frac{1}{2t}(z-u)^2}\frac{1}{w-z}\prod_{j=1}^n\frac{w-x_j}{z-x_j} \tag{33}$$

where γ is a closed contour around the x_i's and $\Gamma_L : t \to L + it$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with $L \in \mathbb{R}$ large enough so that γ and Γ_L do not intersect. Then $K(x,y)$ is simply $\frac{(n-1)!}{n!}\tilde{K}_1(x,y,y)$. It is sometimes convenient to use the following alternate expression for $\tilde{K}_t$, see the equation below (2.18) in [Joh01b]:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{K}_t(x,u,v) = -\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2 t}\int_\gamma \mathrm{d}z\int_{\Gamma_L}\mathrm{d}w\; e^{\frac{1}{2t}(w-v)^2-\frac{1}{2t}(z-u)^2}\frac{1}{w-z}\prod_{j=1}^n\frac{w-x_j}{z-x_j}\\ \times\left[(w+z)(w-z)+uz-vw+t\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{x_j(w-z)}{(w-x_j)(z-x_j)}\right],\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

with the same contours as before. Observe that the integral formulas (33) and (34) make clear the symmetry of $\tilde{K}_t$ with respect to the ordering of $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and that there are no issues if any of the x_i's coincide.

Lemma 3.6. *For all* $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *and* $y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial x_j}(x,y) = \frac{1}{n}\int_\gamma\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma_0}\frac{\mathrm{d}w}{2\pi i}\frac{e^{-(z-y)^2/2}e^{(w-y)^2/2}}{(z-x_j)^2}\prod_{i\neq j}\frac{w-x_i}{z-x_i}. \tag{35}$$

Proof. Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\prod_{i=1}^n\frac{w-x_i}{z-x_i} = \frac{w-z}{(z-x_j)^2}\prod_{i\neq j}\frac{w-x_i}{z-x_i},$$

the derivative with respect to x_j of the integrand in the formula for $K(x,y)$ is equal to

$$f(x;z,w) := \frac{1}{n}\frac{e^{-(z-y)^2/2}e^{(w-y)^2/2}}{(z-x_j)^2}\prod_{i\neq j}\frac{w-x_i}{z-x_i}.$$

The rest of the proof is devoted to justifying the exchange of integral and derivative. Consider a bounded set B in the complex plane and let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with the x_i's all lie on the real line in B. Let γ be a closed contour containing B and therefore also contains x, then there exist constants $d > 0$, $C > 0$ such that for all $z \in \gamma$, $|z - x_i| \geq d$ for all i and $|z| \leq C$. Moreover,

$$\left|\frac{w - x_i}{z - x_i}\right| = \left|\frac{z - x_i}{z - x_i} + \frac{w - z}{z - x_i}\right| = \left|1 + \frac{w - z}{z - x_i}\right| \leq 1 + \frac{|w| + |z|}{d}. \tag{36}$$

Therefore, for all $x \in B$ there is a constant b_n such that

$$\begin{aligned}|f(x; z, w)| &\leq \frac{b_n}{d^{n+1}} \sup_{z \in \gamma} |e^{-(z-y)^2/2}||e^{(w-y)^2/2}|\big((d + C)^{n-1} + |w|^{n-1}\big)\\ &=: g(z, w).\end{aligned}$$

The function g is integrable along the contours γ and Γ_L. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{b_n}{d^{n+1}} \int_\gamma \mathrm{d}z \int_{\Gamma_L} \mathrm{d}w \sup_{z \in \gamma} |e^{-(z-y)^2/2}||e^{(w-y)^2/2}|(d + C)^{n-1}\\ &\qquad = \frac{b_n \mathrm{length}(\gamma)}{d^{n+1}} (d + C)^{n-1} \sup_{z \in \gamma} |e^{-(z-y)^2/2}| \int_{\Gamma_y} \mathrm{d}w \, |e^{(w-y)^2/2}|,\end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we have shifted the contour Γ_L to $\Gamma_y : t \to y + it$ by Cauchy's theorem. The integral with respect to w is just a Gaussian integral and integrates to a constant. The other term is treated in a similar fashion but the dw integral is instead equal to

$$\int_{\Gamma_y} \mathrm{d}w \, |w|^{n-1} |e^{(w-y)^2/2}| = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |y + it|^{n-1} e^{-t^2/2} \, \mathrm{d}t < \infty,$$

for each fixed $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, by Proposition 3.4, we can differentiate under the integral to see that the derivative of $K(x, y)$ is given by

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial x_j}(x, y) = \frac{1}{n} \int_\gamma \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_L} \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{2\pi i} \frac{e^{-(z-y)^2/2} e^{(w-y)^2/2}}{(z - x_j)^2} \prod_{i \neq j} \frac{w - x_i}{z - x_i}$$

Finally, by Cauchy's theorem we can shift the contour Γ_L so that $L = 0$ since there is no longer a pole at $z = w$. ☐

Proof of Proposition 3.5. It is clear from the contour integral (35) that $\frac{\partial K}{\partial x_j}(x, y)$ is translation invariant in the sense that $\frac{\partial K}{\partial x_j}(x + h\mathbf{1}, y + h) = \frac{\partial K}{\partial x_j}(x, y)$ for all $h \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, $\sup_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial K}{\partial x_j}(x, y)$ is equivalent to $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial K}{\partial x_j}(x, 0)$ so we only need to bound the latter. Fix a constant $d > 0$. By Cauchy's theorem, we can take γ to be the closed (rectangular) contour around $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ composed of four parts γ_t, γ_b, γ_r and γ_l, where $\gamma_t : u \to -u + di$, $u \in [-R, R]$, $\gamma_b : u \to u - di$, $u \in [-R, R]$, $\gamma_r : v \to R + vi$, $v \in [-d, d]$, and $\gamma_l : v \to -R - vi$, $v \in [-d, d]$. $R := R(x)$ is chosen so that the minimum distance between the contour γ and the x_i's is at least d. We shall consider each parts of the contour separately. Denote the integral along the contour γ_t by $I(\gamma_t)$ and likewise for the others.

Since $|z - x_i| \geq d$ for all i and $z \in \gamma$, we have by (36) that

$$\prod_{i \neq j} \left|\frac{w - x_i}{z - x_i}\right| \leq \left(1 + \frac{|w| + |z|}{d}\right)^{n-1} \leq \frac{2^{n-2}}{d^{n-1}} \big((d + |z|)^{n-1} + |w|^{n-1}\big).$$

On γ_r, $|z| = |R + vi| = (R^2 + v^2)^{1/2} \leq (R^2 + d^2)^{1/2}$ and

$$|e^{-z^2/2}| = |e^{-(R^2 + 2iRv - v^2)/2}| \leq e^{-R^2/2} e^{d^2/2}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}|I(\gamma_r)| &\leq \frac{2^{n-2}}{d^{n+1}}\int_{\gamma_r}\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi}\,\big(d+(R^2+d^2)^{1/2}\big)^{n-1}e^{-R^2/2}e^{d^2/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{2\pi}e^{-t^2/2}\,\mathrm{d}t\\ &\quad+\frac{2^{n-2}}{d^{n+1}}\int_{\gamma_r}\frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi}\,e^{-R^2/2}e^{d^2/2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{1}{2\pi}|t|^{n-1}e^{-t^2/2}\,\mathrm{d}t\\ &=\frac{2^{n-2}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}d^{n+1}}\mathrm{length}(\gamma_r)\big(d+(R^2+d^2)^{1/2}\big)^{n-1}e^{-R^2/2}e^{d^2/2}\\ &\quad+\frac{C_n2^{n-2}}{(2\pi)^{3/2}d^{n+1}}\mathrm{length}(\gamma_r)e^{-R^2/2}e^{d^2/2},\end{aligned}\tag{37}$$

where $\mathrm{length}(\gamma_r)=2d$ and

$$C_n=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}|t|^{n-1}e^{-t^2/2}\,\mathrm{d}t=\begin{cases}(n-2)!! & \text{if } n \text{ odd}\\ 2^{n/2}\big(\tfrac{1}{2}(n-1)\big)! & \text{if } n \text{ even}\end{cases},\quad n\geq 2.\tag{38}$$

Due to the exponential term $e^{-R^2/2}$ we see that the two terms on the right hand side of (37) vanishes as $R\to\infty$ and hence the same is true for $I(\gamma_r)$. By symmetry, the same argument shows that $I(\gamma_l)$ also vanishes as $R\to\infty$. Thus, we can deform the contour γ to the two horizontal lines, $\gamma_+ : u\to -u+di$ and $\gamma_- : u\to u-di$, $u\in\mathbb{R}$.

On γ_+, $|z|=(u^2+d^2)^{1/2}$ and $|e^{-z^2/2}|=|e^{-(-u+di)^2/2}|\leq e^{-u^2/2}e^{d^2/2}$. Hence, in a similar fashion as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|I(\gamma_+)| &\leq \frac{2^{n-2}}{2\pi d^{n+1}}e^{d^2/2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\big(d+(u^2+d^2)^{1/2}\big)^{n-1}e^{-u^2/2}\,\mathrm{d}u\\ &\quad+\frac{C_n2^{n-2}}{2\pi d^{n+1}}e^{d^2/2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}e^{-u^2/2}\mathrm{d}u\\ &=\frac{2^{n-2}}{2\pi d^{n+1}}e^{d^2/2}\big(C_n'+C_n\big),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}C_n' &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\big(d+(u^2+d^2)^{1/2}\big)^{n-1}e^{-u^2/2}\,\mathrm{d}u\\ &\leq \frac{2^{n-2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\big(d^{n-1}+(u^2+d^2)^{(n-1)/2}\big)e^{-u^2/2}\,\mathrm{d}u\\ &\leq \frac{2^{n-2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}d^{n-1}e^{-u^2/2}+2^{(n-3)/2}(u^{n-1}+d^{n-1})e^{-u^2/2}\,\mathrm{d}u\\ &=2^{n-2}d^{n-1}(1+2^{(n-3)/2})+\frac{2^{n-2}2^{(n-3)/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}u^{n-1}e^{-u^2/2}\,\mathrm{d}u,\end{aligned}$$

and the integral on the last line is equal to zero if n is even and equal to $(n-2)!!$ if n is odd. By symmetry, the same bound applies for $I(\gamma_-)$ and hence we have shown that there exists a constant C depending only on n and d and is independent of x such that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}\left|\frac{\partial K}{\partial x_j}(x,0)\right|\leq\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}\big(|I(\gamma_+)|+|I(\gamma_-)|\big)\leq C,$$

as required. ☐

We now turn our attention to showing (27). Observe that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}K(x,y)^2\,\mathrm{d}y\leq\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}}K(x,y)\int_{\mathbb{R}}K(x,y)\,\mathrm{d}y=n!\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}}K(x,y),\tag{39}$$

since $\int_{W_n} Q_1(x,y)\,\mathrm{d}y = 1$ for all x. So it suffices to show that $\sup_{x,y} K(x,y)$ is bounded or equivalently by the translation invariance of K which follows from the translation invariance of Q_t that $\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} K(x,0)$ is bounded.

Lemma 3.7.

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} K(x,y) = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} K(x,0) < \infty.$$

Proof. It is convenient to use the contour integral formula (34) instead. Notice that there is no longer a pole at $w = z$ and so we can deform the contour Γ_L so that $L = 0$. Let γ be the contour in the proof of Proposition 3.5 comprising of four parts, γ_r, γ_l, γ_t and γ_b. It can be shown in the same manner as in the proof of Proposition 3.5 that the contributions from γ_r and γ_l vanishes at infinity in the direction of the real axis and so we can deform the contour γ to the two horizontal lines, $\gamma_+ : u \to -u + di$ and $\gamma_- : u \to u - di$, $u \in \mathbb{R}$ for a fixed $d > 0$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} K(x,0) = &-\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{\gamma_+\cup\gamma_-}\mathrm{d}z\int_{\Gamma_0}\mathrm{d}w\; e^{-z^2/2}e^{w^2/2}(w+z)\prod_{j=1}^n\frac{w-x_j}{z-x_j}\\ &+-\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{\gamma_+\cup\gamma_-}\mathrm{d}z\int_{\Gamma_0}\mathrm{d}w\; e^{-z^2/2}e^{w^2/2}\prod_{j=1}^n\frac{w-x_j}{z-x_j}\sum_{j=1}^n\frac{x_j}{(w-x_j)(z-x_j)}\\ =:&\ \mathrm{I}_1 + \mathrm{I}_2. \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Denote the contribution from γ_+ by $I_j(\gamma_+)$, $j = 1, 2$ and likewise for γ_-. Note that on γ_+, $|z|^2 = (u^2 + d^2)$, $|e^{-z^2/2}| \le e^{-u^2/2}e^{d^2/2}$ and $|z - x_j| \ge d$ for all j and $z \in \gamma_+$. Hence, by (36) we have in a similar manner to the proof of Proposition 3.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1(\gamma_+)| &\le \frac{e^{d^2/2}}{4\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{d}z\int_{\mathbb{R}}\mathrm{d}t\; e^{-u^2/2}e^{-t^2/2}(|t| + (u^2+d^2)^{1/2})\Big(1 + \frac{|t| + (u^2+d^2)^{1/2}}{d}\Big)^n\\ &\le C_{d,n}, \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

for some constant $C_{d,n}$. By symmetry $I_1(\gamma_-)$ is bounded by the same constant.

It remains to bound I_2. Observe that

$$\left|\prod_{j=1}^n\frac{w-x_j}{z-x_j}\sum_{k=1}^n\frac{x_k}{(w-x_k)(z-x_k)}\right| \le \prod_{j=1}^n\frac{w-x_j}{z-x_j}\sum_{k=1}^n\frac{1}{z-x_k} \le \frac{n}{d}\Big(1 + \frac{|w|+|z|}{d}\Big)^n.$$

Thus in the same way as above, both $|I_2(\gamma_+)|$ and $|I_2(\gamma_-)|$ are bounded by some constant $C'_{d,n}$. Combining this with (40) and (41) shows that there exists a constant C independent of x and depending only on n and d such that

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} K(x,0) \le C,$$

which completes the proof. ☐

Proof of Theorem 3.1(a). Lemma 3.7, Proposition 3.3 Proposition 3.5 and (29), (39) together imply that (27) and (28) are bounded. This in turn shows that the assumption of Lemma 3.2 is satisfied and the result follows. ☐

Lemma 3.8. *For all $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists a constant $C_4 > 0$ depending only on n such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} K_t(x,y)^2\,\mathrm{d}y \le C_4 t^{-1/2}.$$

Proof. By the scaling property of Q_t and a change of variables

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} K_t(x,y)^2 \, \mathrm{d}y = t^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_1(xt^{-1/2}, y')^2 \, \mathrm{d}y'.$$

By Lemma 3.7 and (39), the latter integral for each fixed n is bounded uniformly in x which gives the desired result. ☐

Proof of Theorem 3.1(b). Let $t = u + h$ where $h > 0$, then we need to estimate

$$\int_0^u \int_{\mathbb{R}} \big(K_{s+h}(x,y) - K_s(x,y)\big)^2 \, \mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}s. \tag{42}$$

Assume for now that one can differentiate under the integral in formula (33). The time derivative of K_t is then equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial r} K_r(x,y) \\
&\quad = -\int_{\gamma} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_L} \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{2\pi i} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{w - x_j}{z - x_j} \frac{e^{(w-y)^2/2r} e^{-(z-y)^2/2r}}{r^2(w-z)} \left(1 + \frac{(w-y)^2}{2r} - \frac{(z-y)^2}{2r}\right) \\
&\quad = -\frac{1}{r\sqrt{r}} \int_{\gamma'} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma'_L} \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{2\pi i} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{w - x'_j}{z - x'_j} \frac{e^{w^2/2} e^{-z^2/2}}{(w-z)} \left(1 + \frac{w^2}{2} - \frac{z^2}{2}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $x'_j = (x_j - y)/\sqrt{r}$ and γ', Γ'_L are the contours γ, Γ_L translated by y and scaled by $1/\sqrt{r}$. We can rewrite the derivative as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} K_r(x,y) = -\frac{1}{r\sqrt{r}} K_1(x',0) - \frac{1}{r\sqrt{r}} I(x')$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I(x') &:= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma'} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma'_L} \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{2\pi i} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{w - x'_j}{z - x'_j} \frac{e^{w^2/2} e^{-z^2/2}}{(w-z)} (w^2 - z^2) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma'} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma'_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{2\pi i} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{w - x'_j}{z - x'_j} e^{w^2/2} e^{-z^2/2} (w + z),
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we have shifted the contour Γ'_L so that $L = 0$ as there is no longer a pole at $w = z$.

Note that $|(w+z)e^{w^2/4}e^{-z^2/4}|$ is uniformly bounded on the chosen contours and as in the proof of Proposition 3.5, we can deform γ to the two horizontal contours γ_+ and γ_-. Thus, there exists a $C' := C'(n,d)$ such that

$$I(x') \leq C' \int_{\gamma_+ \cup \gamma_-} \frac{\mathrm{d}z}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma'_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}w}{2\pi i} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left| \frac{w - x'_j}{z - x'_j} \right| |e^{w^2/4}| |e^{-z^2/4}|.$$

Essentially the same calculation as for Proposition 3.5 shows that $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |I(x)| < \infty$ and together with an application of Lemma 3.7 gives

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} K_r(x,y) \right| \leq \frac{1}{r\sqrt{r}} \big(|K(x',0)| + |I(x')|\big) \leq \frac{C}{r\sqrt{r}},$$

for some constant C independent of x.

Now, rewrite the integrand in (42) as $|K_{s+h}(x,y) - K_s(x,y)|^2 = |K_{s+h}(x,y) - K_s(x,y)|^{2-\alpha} |K_{s+h}(x,y) - K_s(x,y)|^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha < 1/2$. We estimate the latter factor by

$$\left| \int_s^{s+h} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} K_r(x,y) \, \mathrm{d}r \right|^{\alpha} \leq C^{\alpha} (s\sqrt{s})^{-\alpha} |h|^{\alpha}.$$

For the other term we have by time scaling

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|K_{s+h}(x,y) - K_s(x,y)|^{2-\alpha} \\
&\qquad \le |K_{s+h}(x,y) + K_s(x,y)|^{2-\alpha} \\
&\qquad = \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{s+h}} K(x/\sqrt{s+h}, y/\sqrt{s+h}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} K(x/\sqrt{s}, y/\sqrt{s}) \right|^{1-\alpha} \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \big(K_{s+h}(x,y) + K_s(x,y)\big) \\
&\qquad \le 2^{1-\alpha} s^{(\alpha-1)/2} \big(\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} K(x,0)\big)^{1-\alpha} \big(K_{s+h}(x,y) + K_s(x,y)\big).
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for $u \le t \le T$, the right hand side of (42) is bounded above by (the constant $C := C(n,\alpha)$ may change from line to line)

$$
\begin{aligned}
C|h|^\alpha \int_0^u s^{-3\alpha/2} s^{(\alpha-1)/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{s+h}(x,y) + K_s(x,y) \,\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}s \\
= C|h|^\alpha \int_0^u s^{-(\alpha+1/2)} \,\mathrm{d}s \\
\le CT^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}|h|^\alpha,
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\alpha + 1/2 < 1$.

It remains to justify the differentiation under the integral sign in $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}K_r(x,y)$. We once again appeal to Proposition 3.4, which means finding a dominating function g for the derivative. Let $f(r;w,z)$ denote the integrand in (33) for $u = v = y$ for fixed $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (note that we have suppresed the dependency on x and y in the notation), then differentiating with respect to r we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial r} f(r;w,z) &= \frac{1}{r^2} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{w - x_j}{z - x_j} \frac{e^{(w-y)^2/2r} e^{-(z-y)^2/2r}}{w - z} \\
&\qquad + \frac{1}{2r^3} \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{w - x_j}{z - x_j} e^{(w-y)^2/2r} e^{-(z-y)^2/2r} (w + z - 2y) \\
&=: \mathrm{I}_1 + \mathrm{I}_2
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $z_*^{\mathrm{R}} = \sup_{z\in\gamma} \mathrm{Re}(z)$ and $z_*^{\mathrm{I}} = \sup_{z\in\gamma} \mathrm{Im}(z)$. Fix $r_* > 0$ then for all $r \in [r_*/2, 2r_*]$ and $z \in \gamma$, we have that

$$
|e^{-(z-y)^2/2r}| \le e^{-(z_*^{\mathrm{R}} - y)^2/4r_*} e^{(z_*^{\mathrm{I}})^2/r_*},
$$

and for all $w \in \Gamma_L : t \mapsto L + it$, we have

$$
|e^{(w-y)^2/2r}| \le e^{-t^2/4r_*} e^{(L+y)^2/r_*}.
$$

Hence, for all $r \in [r_*/2, 2r_*]$

$$
|\mathrm{I}_1| \le \frac{4}{r_*^2} \frac{1}{|w - z|} \prod_{j=1}^n \left| \frac{w - x_j}{z - x_j} \right| e^{-(z_*^{\mathrm{R}} - y)^2/4r_*} e^{(z_*^{\mathrm{I}})^2/r_*} e^{-t^2/4r_*} e^{(L+y)^2/r_*}.
$$

$$
|\mathrm{I}_2| \le \frac{4}{r_*^3} \prod_{j=1}^n \left| \frac{w - x_j}{z - x_j} \right| |w + z - 2y| e^{-(z_*^{\mathrm{R}} - y)^2/4r_*} e^{(z_*^{\mathrm{I}})^2/r_*} e^{-t^2/4r_*} e^{(L+y)^2/r_*}.
$$

Let $g(r_*;w,z)$ be the sum of the upper bounds of $|\mathrm{I}_1|$ and $|\mathrm{I}_2|$, then it can be shown in a similar fashion as in Lemma 3.6 that $g(r_*;w,z)$ is integrable on the contours γ and Γ_L and so an application of Proposition 3.4 completes the argument.

Finally, by Lemma 3.8 we have

$$\int_u^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{t-s}(x,y)^2 \,\mathrm{d}y\mathrm{d}s \le C_4 \int_u^t (t-s)^{-1/2}\,\mathrm{d}s \le 2C_4|t-u|^{1/2}.$$

This completes the whole proof of the theorem. □

4. Existence, Uniqueness and Moment Estimates

4.1. **Bounded Initial Data.** We now prove the existence, uniqueness and moment estimates part of Theorem 1.3(a). The proof of continuity will be delayed to Section 5. In the sequel constants will generally be denoted by c, C or K and possibly adorned with primes, tildes, subscripts or superscripts. They may differ from line to line and their dependence if any will always be specified. However, C_i, $1 \le i \le 4$ will always mean the constants in Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.8. $T \ge 0$ will always denote the finite time horizon.

Proof of existence, uniqueness and moment estimates of Theorem 1.3(a). The proof is by a Picard iteration argument. Throughout the proof, we fix an arbitrary integer $p \ge 2$. For $(t,y) \in (0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n$ define $m^0(t,y) := J_n(t,y)$ where J_n was defined in (15) and for $k \ge 1$, let

$$\begin{aligned} m^k(t,y) &= m^0(t,y) + A_n \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q_{t-s}(y,y')m^{k-1}(s,y')\,\mathrm{d}y'_*\,W(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}y'_1) \\ &=: m^0(t,y) + I^k(t,y). \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

We first show that each of the stochastic integrals above are well defined, that is for all $(t,y) \in (0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n$, the random field $\big(f_k(s,x),(s,x)\in(0,t)\times\mathbb{R}\big)$ defined by $f_k(s,y'_1) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} Q_{t-s}(y,y')m^k(s,y')\,\mathrm{d}y'_*$ is in $\mathscr{P}_2$ for all $k \ge 0$.

Fix $(t,y) \in (0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n$ and consider $f_0(s,y'_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} Q_{t-s}(y,y')m^0(s,y')\,\mathrm{d}y'_*$. We need to show that m^0 satisfies the three assumptions of Proposition 2.5. Since the initial data g is $\mathscr{F}_0$-measurable, m^0 is adapted to the filtration $(\mathscr{F}_t)_{t\ge0}$. By assumption on g, $\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^n}\|g(y)\|_p \le K_{p,g} < \infty$ and hence by Minkowski's integral inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|m^0(s,y)\|_p &\le \frac{1}{n!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|g(y')\|_p Q_t(y,y')\,\mathrm{d}y' \\ &\le \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^n}\|g(y)\|_p \frac{1}{n!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q_t(y,y')\,\mathrm{d}y' \\ &\le K_{p,g}. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

Therefore, $\|m^0(s,y)\|_p^2$ is bounded by $K_{p,g}^2$ uniformly for $(s,y) \in [0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n$. By Lemma 5.2 below, $(s,y') \mapsto m^0(s,y')$ is continuous in $L^2(\Omega)$ on $(0,t)\times\mathbb{R}^n$ and so Proposition 2.5 implies that $f_0 \in \mathscr{P}_2$ and

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q_{t-s}(y,y')m^0(s,y')\,\mathrm{d}y'_*\,W(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}y'_1),$$

is a well-defined Walsh integral. Consequently, the random field $\big(m^1(t,y) = m^0(t,y) + I^1(t,y), (t,y) \in (0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n\big)$ is well defined.

We wish to show that the sequence $\{m^k(t,y)\}_{k\ge0}$ is Cauchy in $L^p(\Omega)$. To this end, let $d_k(t,y) := \|m^{k+1}(t,y) - m^k(t,y)\|_p$. By Lemma 2.2, Lemma 3.8 and (44), we have for all $(t, y) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_0(t,y)^2 &\leq A_n^2 c_p^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} Q_{t-s}(y,y') \|m^0(s,y')\|_p \, \mathrm{d}y'_* \right)^2 \mathrm{d}y'_1 \mathrm{d}s \\
&\leq 2K_{p,g}^2 C_4 A_n^2 c_p^2 \sqrt{t} \\
&= K_{p,g}^2 C_4 A_n^2 c_p^2 \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\Gamma\big(\frac{3}{2}\big)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where C_4 is the constant in Lemma 3.8 and $\Gamma(3/2) = \sqrt{\pi}/2$.

Now assume that for all $0 \leq l \leq k$, $\big(m^l(t,y), (t,y) \in (0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n\big)$ is well defined and satisfies

(i) m^l is adapted,
(ii) $(s, y) \mapsto m^l(s, y)$ is $L^2(\Omega)$-continuous on $(0, t) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ for all $t > 0$,
(iii) for all $(t, y) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 \leq l \leq k - 1$

$$
d_l(t,y)^2 \leq K_{p,g}^2 (C_4 A_n^2 c_p^2 \sqrt{\pi})^{l+1} \frac{t^{(l+1)/2}}{\Gamma\big(\frac{l+1}{2} + 1\big)}.
$$

We want to show that the same is true for m^{k+1} and d_k. Let $(t, y) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Observe that $m^k(t, y) = m^0(t, y) + \sum_{l=1}^k m^l(t, y) - m^{l-1}(t, y)$, and so to bound the pth moments of m^k it suffices to bound each of the d_l's, $0 \leq l \leq k-1$. Indeed, by property (iii) and (44), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|m^k(t,y)\|_p^2 &\leq 2\|m^0(t,y)\|_p^2 + \sum_{l=1}^k 2^l d_{l-1}(t,y)^2 \\
&\leq 2K_{p,g}^2 \sum_{l=0}^k (C_4 A_n^2 c_p^2 \sqrt{\pi})^l \frac{t^{l/2}}{\Gamma\big(\frac{l}{2}+1\big)}, \qquad (45)
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that $\sup_{(s,y)\in[0,t]\times\mathbb{R}^n} \|m^k(s, y)\|_2 < \infty$. This and the induction hypothesis shows that m^k satisfies all three assumptions of Proposition 2.5 and so $f_k \in \mathscr{P}_2$ and

$$
I^{k+1}(t,y) = A_n \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} Q_{t-s}(y,y') m^k(s,y') \, \mathrm{d}y'_* \, W(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}y'_1),
$$

is a well-defined Walsh integral for all $(t, y) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, it is adapted and so $m^{k+1} = m^0 + I^{k+1}$ is also adapted. We need to check the $L^2(\Omega)$-continuity of I^{k+1}. Fix $\alpha < 1/2$, then for all $0 < r < u \leq t$ and y, $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|I^{k+1}(u,y) - I^{k+1}(r,z)\|_2^2 \\
&\qquad \leq 2A_n^2 \int_0^r \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \big(Q_{u-s}(y,y') - Q_{r-s}(z,y')\big) \|m^k(s,y'\|_2 \, \mathrm{d}y'_* \right)^2 \mathrm{d}y'_1 \mathrm{d}s \\
&\qquad\qquad + 2A_n^2 \int_r^u \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} Q_{u-s}(y,y') \|m^k(s,y')\|_2 \, \mathrm{d}y'_* \right)^2 \mathrm{d}y'_1 \mathrm{d}s \\
&\qquad \leq 2A_n^2 (C_1 + C_2 + C_3) \sup_{(s,y')\in[0,u]\times\mathbb{R}^n} \|m^k(s,y')\|_2^2 \big(|y-z| + |u-r|^\alpha\big),
\end{aligned}
$$

by Theorem 3.1 which proves the $L^2(\Omega)$-continuity of m^{k+1} on $(0, t) \times \mathbb{R}^n$.

For the bound on d_k, we use Lemmata 2.2 and 3.8 and the induction hypothesis to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_k(t,y)^2 &\leq K_{p,g}^2 (C_4 A_n^2 c_p^2)^{k+1} \pi^{k/2} \int_0^t \frac{s^{k/2}}{\Gamma\big(\frac{k}{2}+1\big)} (t-s)^{-1/2} \, \mathrm{d}s \\ &= K_{p,g}^2 (C_4 A_n^2 c_p^2 \sqrt{\pi})^{k+1} \frac{t^{(k+1)/2}}{\Gamma\big(\frac{k+1}{2}+1\big)}, \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where we have used the Euler Beta integral [OLBC10, equation 5.12.1]:

$$\int_0^1 u^{a-1}(1-u)^{b-1} \, \mathrm{d}u = \frac{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)}, \quad a, b > 0, \tag{47}$$

and the fact that $\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$ to evaluate the time integral. It follows that the bound (45) holds with k replaced with $k+1$ and that $\sup_{(s,y)\in[0,t]\times\mathbb{R}^n} \|m^{k+1}(s,y)\|_2 < \infty$. Hence, m^{k+1} satisfies all the assumptions of Proposition 2.5 and therefore $f_{k+1} \in \mathscr{P}_2$.

Thus, by induction we conclude that for all integers k, the random field $\big(m^k(t,y) = m^0(t,y) + I^k(t,y), (t,y) \in (0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n\big)$ is well defined and satisfies properties (i), (ii) and (iii) listed above.

We now show that the sequence $\{m^k(t,y)\}_{k\geq 0}$ is Cauchy in $L^p(\Omega)$. This follows from the fact that for any $T > 0$

$$\sup_{(t,y)\in[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} d_k(t,y) < \infty,$$

which is a consequence of property (iii), the ratio test and the following asymptotic: $\frac{\Gamma(z+a)}{\Gamma(z+b)} \sim z^{a-b}$, as $z \to \infty$, see [OLBC10, equation 5.11.12]. We conclude that there exist a random field which we denote by $M_n(t,y)$ such that $m^k(t,y) \to M_n(t,y)$ as $k \to \infty$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ and almost surely for a subsequence uniformly in $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0.T]$.

Since each m^k is adapted, M_n is also adapted. The $L^2(\Omega)$-continuity of M_n is inherited from that of m^k since the convergence is uniform on $[0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n$ for all $T > 0$. Now take $k \to \infty$ on both sides of (45). By [CD14b, Proposition 2.2], we know that for all $x \geq 0$

$$e^{x^2}\big(1 + \operatorname{erf}(x)\big) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{k-1}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}. \tag{48}$$

Using this with $x = 2C_4 A_n^2 c_p^2 \sqrt{\pi} t^{1/2}$ gives the bound (16) in the statement of the theorem. Thus, by Proposition 2.5, for all $(t,y) \in (0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n$ the random field f defined by $f(s,y_1') = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} Q_{t-s}(y,y') M_n(s,y') \, \mathrm{d}y_*'$ for $(s,y_1') \in (0,t)\times\mathbb{R}$ is in $\mathscr{P}_2$ and the stochastic integral

$$I_n(t,y) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q_{t-s}(y, y' M_n(s,y') \, \mathrm{d}y_*' \, W(\mathrm{d}s, \mathrm{d}y_1'),$$

is well defined.

It remains to show that the limit $M_n(t,y)$ solves (15). Fix $(t,y) \in (0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n$. By definition, $m^k(t,y) = m^0(t,y) + I^k(t,y)$ where the left hand side converges in $L^p(\Omega)$ and almost surely for a subsequence to $M_n(t,y)$. For the right hand side we have by the uniform convergence $L^p(\Omega)$ of m^k that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I^k(t,y) - I_n(t,y)\|_p^2 &\leq 2\sqrt{t} A_n^2 c_p^2 \sup_{(s,y')\in[0,t]\times\mathbb{R}^n} \|m^k(s,y') - M_n(s,y')\|_p^2 \\ &\to 0 \quad \text{as } k \to \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $L^p(\Omega)$ convergence of $I^k(t,y)$ to $I_n(t,y)$ and hence almost sure convergence for a subsequence to the same limit. The limit of both sides of $m^k(t,y) = m^0(t,y) + I^k(t,y)$ must be equal almost surely and so we have shown that for all $(t,y) \in (0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n$, $M_n(t,y)$ satisfies (15) almost surely. This proves existence.

For uniqueness, suppose that $M(t,y)$ and $N(t,y)$ are both solutions to (15) with the same initial data g and let $d(t,y) = \|M(t,y) - N(t,y)\|_p$ then by a similar calculation as for existence we have

$$d(t,y)^2 \leq \sup_{(s,y)\in[0,t]\times\mathbb{R}^n} d(s,y)^2 \; (C_4 A_n^2 c_p^2 \sqrt{\pi})^n \frac{t^{n/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)}, \tag{49}$$

which converges to 0 as $n\to\infty$ since the expression on the right hand side is summable in n. Therefore, $d \equiv 0$ and so for all (t,y), $M(t,y) = N(t,y)$ almost surely i.e. M and N are versions of each other. This proves uniqueness. $\square$

4.2. **Delta Initial Data.**

Proof of existence, uniqueness and moment estimates of Theorem 1.3(b). Fix an integer $p \geq 2$. We first show that if solutions to (13) exists then it must be unique. Suppose $M(t,x,y)$ and $N(t,x,y)$ are two solutions to (13) and let $d(t,x,y) = \|M(t,x,y) - N(t,x,y)\|_p$. By linearity of the equation (13), $M(t,x,y) - N(t,x,y)$ is a solution to (15) with zero initial condition i.e. $M(t,x,y) - N(t,x,y) = M_n^g(t,y)$ with $g \equiv 0$. Then by (16), $\sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} d(t,x,y)^2$ is a bounded function of $t\in[0,T]$ for any $T>0$. The bound (49) applies to $d(t,x,y)^2$ which shows that $M(t,x,y) = N(t,x,y)$ almost surely for all (t,x,y). This proves uniqueness.

We now prove existence. We shall show that $M_n(t,x,y)$ defined by (11) satisfies equation (13) for all $(t,x,y)\in(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n$. Recall that $M_n(t,x,y)$ is well defined on the boundary of the Weyl chamber and it is symmetric under permutations of both its space variables, hence we can extend it to a function on $\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n$. Similarly we also extend $Q_{t-s}(x,y)$ to the whole of $\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n$. Substituting the chaos expansion of M_n into the stochastic integral term of (13), using the expression for the correlation function R_k (20) and the stochastic Fubini's theorem [Kho09, Theorem 5.30], we have bearing in mind that we can interchange the summation and integral because the series is convergent in $L^2(\Omega)$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_n \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q_{t-s_1}(y,y^1) M_n(s_1,x,y^1)\,\mathrm{d}y_*^1 W(\mathrm{d}s_1,\mathrm{d}y_1^1)\\
&\quad= A_n \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{Q_{t-s_1}(y,y^1) p_n^*(s_1,x,y^1)}{\Delta(x)\Delta(y^1)}\,\mathrm{d}y_*^1\, W(\mathrm{d}s_1,\mathrm{d}y_1^1)\\
&\qquad\quad + A_n^{k+1} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{p_n^*(t-s_1,y,y^1)}{\Delta(x)\Delta(y)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta_k(s_1)} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^k} \prod_{i=2}^{k+1} p_n^*(s_{i-1}-s_i, y^{i-1}, y^i)\\
&\qquad\qquad\quad \times p_n^*(s_{k+1}, y^{k+1}, x) \prod_{i=2}^{k+1} \mathrm{d}y_*^i\, W^{\otimes k}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s},\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y})\,\mathrm{d}y_*^1\, W(\mathrm{d}s_1,\mathrm{d}y_1^1)\\
&\quad= \frac{p_n^*(t,x,y)}{\Delta(x)\Delta(y)} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} R_1(s_1,y_1^1;t,x,y)\, W(\mathrm{d}s_1,\mathrm{d}y_1^1)\\
&\qquad\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta_{k+1}(t)} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^{k+1}} A_n^{k+1} p_n^*(t-s_1,y,y^1) \prod_{i=2}^{k+1} p_n^*(s_{i-1}-s_i, y^{i-1}, y^i)\\
&\qquad\qquad\quad \times p_n^*(s_{k+1}, y^{k+1}, x) \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} \mathrm{d}y_*^i\, W^{\otimes k+1}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s},\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y})
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{p_n^*(t,x,y)}{\Delta(x)\Delta(y)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta_k(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} R_k(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y};t,x,y)\, W^{\otimes k}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s},\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}),$$

where the last equality follows by a relabelling of the indices. Thus, the right hand side of (13) after the substitution is equal to

$$\frac{p_n^*(t,x,y)}{\Delta(x)\Delta(y)} \bigg(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta_k(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} R_k(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y};t,x,y)\, W^{\otimes k}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s},\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y})\bigg),$$

which is the definition of $M_n(t,x,y)$ as required.

It remains to estimate the pth moments of $M_n(t,x,y)$. The approach is to construct an approximating sequence to M_n and estimate the moments of each term of the sequence and take limits. The natural candidate for the approximating sequence is the following: for each $(t,x,y) \in (0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n$, let $m^0(t,x,y) := J_n(t,x,y)$ where J_n was defined in (13) and for $k \geq 1$ define

$$m^k(t,x,y) = m^0(t,x,y)\bigg(1 + \sum_{l=1}^{k} \int_{\Delta_l(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^l} R_l(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y};t,x,y)\, W^{\otimes l}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s},\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y})\bigg).$$

In other words, $m^k(t,x,y)$ is the kth partial sum of the chaos expansion for $M_n(t,x,y)$. Let $d_{k-1}(t,x,y) := m^k(t,x,y) - m^{k-1}(t,x,y)$ for $k \geq 1$, then clearly

$$d_{k-1}(t,x,y) = m^0(t,x,y) \int_{\Delta_k(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} R_k(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y};t,x,y)\, W^{\otimes k}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s},\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}).$$

By Lemma 2.3

$$\|d_{k-1}(t,x,y)\|_p^2 \leq c_p^{2k} m^0(t,x,y)^2 \int_{\Delta_k(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} R_k(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y};t,x,y)^2\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}. \tag{50}$$

It is easy to see that

$$m^k(t,x,y) = m^0(t,x,y) + \sum_{l=1}^{k} m^l(t,x,y) - m^{l-1}(t,x,y),$$

and so by (50), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|m^k(t,x,y)\|_p^2 &\leq 2m^0(t,x,y)^2 + \sum_{l=1}^{k} 2^l \|d_{l-1}(t,x,y)\|_p^2 \\ &\leq 2m^0(t,x,y)^2\bigg(1 + \sum_{l=1}^{k} (2c_p^2)^l \int_{\Delta_l(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^l} R_l(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y};t,x,y)^2\, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s}\bigg). \end{aligned}$$

Each term in the sum above is equal to $(2c_p^2)^l \mathbb{E}_{x,y;t}^{X,Y}\big[\big(\sum_{i,j=1}^n L_t(X^i - Y^j)\big)^l\big]/l!$ by Lemma 2.6 where $X = (X^1,\dots,X^n)$, $Y = (Y^1,\dots,Y^n)$ are independent copies of a collection of n non-intersecting Brownian bridges which start at x in time 0 and end at y in time t. Letting $k \to \infty$ we have for all $(t,x,y) \in (0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|m^k(t,x,y)\|_p^2 \leq 2m^0(t,x,y)^2 \mathbb{E}_{x,y;t}^{X,Y}\Big[\exp\Big(2c_p^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} L_t(X^i - Y^j)\Big)\Big]. \tag{51}$$

For each $t > 0$, Lemma 2.7 shows that the right hand side of the above is bounded uniformly in x, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for any $p \geq 2$. By Cauchy–Schwarz inequality

$$\|m^k(t,x,y) - m^{k'}(t,x,y)\|_p^p \leq \|m^k(t,x,y) - m^{k'}(t,x,y)\|_2 \|m^k(t,x,y) - m^{k'}(t,x,y)\|_{2(p-1)}^{p-1},$$

which converges to 0 as k, $k' \to \infty$ by the $L^2(\Omega)$ convergence of m^k and the moment bound (51). Therefore, $m^k(t,x,y)$ also converges to $M_n(t,x,y)$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ and we can replace the left hand side of (51) with $\|M_n(t,x,y)\|_p^2$. This completes the proof of existence, uniqueness and moment estimates. ☐

5. Continuity

We shall use the following version of Kolmogorov's continuity criterion which is due to Chen and Dalang, see [CD14a, Proposition 4.2].

Theorem 5.1. *Consider a random field* $\{f(t,y) : (t,y) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^d\}$. *Suppose there are constants* $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_d \in (0,1]$ *such that for all* $p > 2(d+1)$ *and all* $M > 1$, *there exist a constant* $C := C(p,M)$ *depending on* p *and* M *such that*

$$\|f(t,y) - f(s,x)\|_p \le C\bigg(|t-s|^{\alpha_0} + \sum_{i=1}^{d} |y_i - x_i|^{\alpha_i}\bigg),$$

for all (t,y) *and* (s,x) *in* $[1/M, M] \times [-M,M]^d$. *Then* f *has a modification which is locally Hölder continuous on* $(0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ *with indices* $(\beta\alpha_0, \dots, \beta\alpha_d)$ *for all* $\beta \in (0,1)$.

5.1. Bounded Initial Data.

We now prove the Hölder continuity of the solution to (15) by verifying the assumptions of Kolmogorov's continuity criterion. We first estimate the increments of $J_n(t,y) = \frac{1}{n!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(y')Q_t(y,y')\,\mathrm{d}y'$ where g satisfies the bound $\sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^n} \|g(y)\|_p \le K_{p,g}$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let* $M > 1$ *and* $p \ge 2$. *There exist constants* $K_i := K_i(M,n,p) > 0$, $i = 1,2$ *such that for all* t, $t' \in [1/M, M]$ *and* y, $y' \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\|J_n(t,y) - J_n(t',y)\|_p \le K_1|t-t'|,$$

and

$$\|J_n(t,y) - J_n(t,y')\|_p \le K_2|y-y'|.$$

Proof. By the assumptions on g and Minkowsky integral inequality, we have

$$\|J_n(t,y) - J_n(t',y)\|_p \le \frac{1}{n!}\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^n}\|g(z)\|_p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \big|Q_t(y,z) - Q_{t'}(y',z)\big|\,\mathrm{d}z.$$

For $t \ge 1/M$, Q_t has bounded derivatives in both time and space and the result follows by a direct calculation. ☐

We now turn our attention to the stochastic integral term $I_n(t,y)$.

Proposition 5.3. *Let* $M > 1$, $\alpha < 1/2$ *and* $p \ge 2$. *For all* (t,y) *and* $(u,z) \in [0,M] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ *there exists a constant* $K := K(\alpha, g, M, n, p)$ *such that*

$$\|I_n(t,y) - I_n(u,z)\|_p \le K\big(|t-u|^{\alpha/2} + |y-z|^{1/2}\big).$$

Proof. We consider the spatial and temporal increment separately. By (16), there is a constant $C := C(g,M,n,p)$ such that

$$\sup_{(s,y')\in[0,M]\times\mathbb{R}^n} \|M_n(s,y')\|_p^2 \le C.$$

Then by Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 3.1(a)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|I_n(t,y)-I_n(t,z)\|_p^2\\
&\le A_n^2c_p^2\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\big(Q_{t-s}(y,y')-Q_{t-s}(z,y')\big)\|M_n(s,y')\|_p\,\mathrm{d}y'_*\right)^2\mathrm{d}y'_1\mathrm{d}s\\
&\le CA_n^2c_p^2\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}Q_{t-s}(y,y')-Q_{t-s}(z,y')\,\mathrm{d}y'_*\right)^2\mathrm{d}y'_1\mathrm{d}s\\
&\le C_1CA_n^2c_p^2|y-z|.
\end{aligned}$$

For the temporal increment we have two terms (assuming without loss of generality that $0\le u<t\le M$)

$$\|I_n(t,y)-I_n(u,y)\|_p^2\le 2\mathrm{I}+2\mathrm{II},$$

where by Theorem 3.1(b), for any $\alpha<1/2$ there exists a C_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I}&:=\left\|A_n\int_0^u\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\big(Q_{t-s}(y,y')-Q_{u-s}(y,y')\big)M_n(s,y')\,\mathrm{d}y'_*\,W(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}y'_1)\right\|_p^2\\
&\le CA_n^2c_p^2\int_0^u\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}Q_{t-s}(y,y')-Q_{u-s}(y,y')\,\mathrm{d}y'_*\right)^2\mathrm{d}y'_1\mathrm{d}s\\
&\le C_2CA_n^2c_p^2|t-u|^{\alpha},
\end{aligned}$$

and a constant C_3 such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{II}&:=\left\|A_n\int_u^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}Q_{t-s}(y,y')M_n(s,y')\,\mathrm{d}y'_*\,W(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}y'_1)\right\|_p^2\\
&\le C_3CA_n^2c_p^2|t-u|^{1/2}\\
&\le C_3CA_n^2c_p^2(2M)^{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}|t-u|^{\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

By the subadditivity of the function $x\mapsto|x|^\beta$, for $\beta\in(0,1]$ we have

$$|y-y'|^\beta=\left(\sum_{i=1}^n|y_i-y'_i|^2\right)^{\beta/2}\le\sum_{i=1}^n|y_i-y'_i|^\beta.$$

Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.3 together shows that for all $M>1$, $\alpha<1/2$ and $p\ge2$, there is a constant $C:=C(\alpha,g,M,n,p)$ such that for all (t,y) and (t',y') in $[1/M,M]\times[-M,M]^n$,

$$\|M_n(t,y)-M_n(t',y')\|_p\le C\left(|t-t'|^{\alpha/2}+\sum_{i=1}^n|y_i-y'_i|^{1/2}\right).$$

Taking p large enough and applying Theorem 5.1 shows that M_n has a version that is locally Hölder continuous on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n$ with indices up to 1/4 in time and up to 1/2 in space.

5.2. **Delta Initial Data.** We now turn our attention to $M_n(t,x,y)$. Observe that in this case we cannot apply the method used in Proposition 5.3 directly since the pth moments of $M_n(t,x,y)$ are not bounded uniformly in time, for instance if $x=y$ then

$$\|M_n(t,x,x)\|_2\ge\frac{p_n^*(t,x,x)}{\Delta(x)^2}=\frac{(2\pi t)^{-n/2}}{\Delta(x)^2}\Big(1+\sum_{\substack{\sigma\in S_n\\ \sigma\neq\mathrm{id}}}\mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\prod_{i=1}^ne^{-(x_i-x_{\sigma(i)})^2/2t}\Big),$$

which converges to infinity as $t \downarrow 0$. However, for any $t > 0$ fixed we have by (51) and Lemma 2.7 that there is a constant $C := C(n,p)$ such that

$$\|M_n(t,x,y)\|_p^2 \le 2\left(\frac{p_n^*(t,x,y)}{\Delta(x)\Delta(y)}\right)^2 \mathbb{E}_{x,y;t}^{X,Y}\Big[\exp\Big(2c_p^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n L_t(X^i - Y^j)\Big)\Big] \le Ct^{-n^2},$$

uniformly for x, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus, for all positive times, M_n belongs to the class of initial data in Theorem 1.3(a). It is clear that at any given time we can restart the equation taking the current solution as the new initial data. More precisely, let $\tau > 0$ and consider the shifted white noise $\dot{W}^\tau(s,y) := \dot{W}(\tau + s, y)$. Define $M_n^\tau(t,x,y) := M_n(\tau + t, x, y)$ then it is easy to check by using the semigroup property of Q_t that M_n^τ satisfies the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned} M_n^\tau(t,x,y) = \frac{1}{n!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} & M_n(\tau,x,y')Q_t(y,y')\,\mathrm{d}y' \\ & + A_n \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q_{t-s}(y,y')M_n^\tau(s,x,y')\,\mathrm{d}y'_*\,W^\tau(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}y'_1). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, M_n^τ is the solution to (15) driven by the shifted noise $\dot{W}^\tau$ with initial condition $M_n^\tau(0,x,y) = M_n(\tau,x,y)$. Now define

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}}_n(t,x,y) := \begin{cases} M_n(t,x,y) & \text{if } 0 \le t \le \tau, \\ M_n^\tau(t-\tau,x,y) & \text{if } t > \tau. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_n(t,x,y)$ solves (13) and by uniqueness, M_n^τ is a modification of the chaos series (11). Let $M > 1$, $\alpha < 1/2$ and $p \ge 2$ then since $\sup_{x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n} \|M_n(\tau,x,y)\|_p < \infty$, Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.3 applies to show that there is a constant $C := C(\alpha, M, n, p, \tau)$ such that for all t, $t' \in [\tau, M]$ and y, $y' \in [-M,M]^n$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\|M_n^\tau(t,x,y) - M_n^\tau(t',x,y')\|_p \le C\big(|t-t'|^{\alpha/2} + |y-y'|^{1/2}\big). \tag{52}$$

5.2.1. *Continuity in the Initial Condition.* We study the continuity of $x \mapsto M_n(t,x,y)$; in fact we show that $(t,x,y) \mapsto M_n(t,x,y)$ is jointly continuous. Recall the chaos expansion of $M_n(t,x,y)$:

$$M_n(t,x,y) = J_n(t,x,y)\Big(1 + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{\Delta_k(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} R_k(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y}';t,x,y)\,W^{\otimes k}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s},\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}')\Big), \tag{53}$$

where for $0 < s_1 < \ldots < s_k < t$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1^1, y_1^2, \ldots, y_1^k)$

$$\begin{aligned} & R_k(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y};t,x,y) \\ & \quad = A_n^k \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})^k} \frac{p_n^*(s_1,x,y^1)\prod_{i=2}^k p_n^*(s_i - s_{i-1}, y^{i-1}, y^i)p_n^*(t-s_k,y^k,y)}{p_n^*(t,x,y)} \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=2}^n \mathrm{d}y_j^i. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $J_n(t,x,y) = J_n(t,y,x)$ and from the expression of R_k one can see that for all $k \ge 1$

$$R_k(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y};t,x,y) = R_k(t-\mathbf{s},\tilde{\mathbf{y}};t,y,x), \tag{54}$$

where $t - \mathbf{s} := (t-s_k, \ldots, t-s_1)$, $0 < t-s_k < \ldots < t-s_1 < t$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} := (y_1^k, y_1^{k-1}, \ldots, y_1^1)$. Therefore, it is reasonable to think that each term in the sum above is symmetric in x and y provided one can reverse time in the multiple stochastic integral. This motivates the following proposition.

Proposition 5.4. *For all $n \ge 1$ and for each fixed y the random fields $(M_n(t,x,y), (t,x) \in (0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $(M_n(t,y,x); (t,x) \in (0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ are equal in distribution.*

Proof. Fix $k \geq 1$ and $(t,x,y) \in (0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Recall the time reversed white noise $\tilde{W}$ defined by $\tilde{W}([0,s] \times A) = \dot{W}([t-s,t] \times A)$ for $s \leq t$ and $A \in \mathscr{B}_b(\mathbb{R})$. Extend $R_k(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{z};t,x,y)$ to a function on $L^2([0,t]^k \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ by setting it to be zero for $\mathbf{s} \notin \Delta_k(t)$. Let $\tilde{R}_k$ be the symmetrisation of R_k given by

$$\tilde{R}_k(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y};t,x,y) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\pi \in S_k} R_k(\pi\mathbf{s},\pi\mathbf{y};t,x,y),$$

where $\pi\mathbf{s} = (s_{\pi(1)},\ldots,s_{\pi(k)})$ and likewise for $\pi\mathbf{y}$. Clearly, we have $\tilde{R}_k(\mathbf{s},\tilde{\mathbf{y}};t,x,y) = \tilde{R}_k(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y};t,x,y)$. Therefore by Lemma 2.1 and (54), (recall the definition of the multiple stochastic integral in Section 2.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Delta_k(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} R_k(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{z};t,x,y)\, W^{\otimes k}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s},\mathrm{d}\mathbf{z}) &= \int_{[0,t]^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \tilde{R}_k(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{z};t,x,y)\, W^{\otimes k}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s},\mathrm{d}\mathbf{z}) \\
&= \int_{[0,t]^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \tilde{R}_k(t-\mathbf{s},\mathbf{z};t,x,y)\, \tilde{W}^{\otimes k}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s},\mathrm{d}\mathbf{z}) \\
&= \int_{[0,t]^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \tilde{R}_k(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{z};t,y,x)\, \tilde{W}^{\otimes k}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s},\mathrm{d}\mathbf{z}) \\
&= \int_{\Delta_k(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} R_k(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{z};t,y,x)\, \tilde{W}^{\otimes k}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s},\mathrm{d}\mathbf{z}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, applying the above to each term of the sum in (53) we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
M_n(t,x,y) &= J_n(t,y,x)\left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{\Delta_k(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} R_k(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{y};t,y,x)\, W^{\otimes k}(\mathrm{d}\mathbf{s},\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y})\right) \\
&= M_n(t,y,x),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $(t,x,y) \in (0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and the result follows. ☐

Finally, we return to proving the joint continuity of the solution to (13). We bound $\|\hat{\mathcal{M}}_n(t,x,y) - \hat{\mathcal{M}}_n(t',x',y')\|_p^2$ by considering the increments in each variables separately. Since $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_n(t,x,y) = M_n^\tau(t-\tau,x,y)$ for $t \geq 2\tau$, we have by Proposition 5.4 and (52) that for all $M > 1$, $p \geq 2$ and $\alpha < 1/2$ there is a constant $C := C(\alpha,M,n,p,\tau)$ such that for all (t,x,y) and $(t',x',y') \in [2\tau,M] \times [-M,M]^n \times [-M,M]^n$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\hat{\mathcal{M}}_n(t,x,y) - \hat{\mathcal{M}}_n(t',x',y')\|_p \\
&\qquad \leq \|M_n^\tau(t-\tau,x,y) - M_n^\tau(t'-\tau,x,y')\|_p + \|M_n^\tau(t'-\tau,y',x) - M_n^\tau(t'-\tau,y',x')\|_p \\
&\qquad \leq C\big(|t-t'|^{\alpha/2} + |x-x'|^{1/2} + |y-y'|^{1/2}\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\tau > 0$ is arbitrary, we can take $2\tau = 1/M$ and thus we have shown that there exists a constant $\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}(\alpha,M,n,p)$ such that for all (t,x,y) and $(t',x',y') \in [1/M,M] \times [-M,M]^{2n}$ the above inequality holds with $\tilde{C}$ in place of C. Finally, using the subadditivity of $x \mapsto |x|^\beta$ for $\beta \in (0,1]$ and applying Theorem 5.1 proves the existence of a Hölder continuous version. This concludes the entire proof of Theorem 1.3.

6. Strict Positivity

6.1. A Weak Comparision Principle.

Recall that $K_n(t,x,y)$ can be expressed as $K_n(t,x,y) = \det[u(t,x_i,y_j)]_{i,j=1}^n$ where $u(t,x,y)$ is the solution to (2) with initial data δ_x. Bertini–Cancrini [BC95] proved that $u(t,x,y)$ is the limit in $L^p(\Omega)$ for all $p \geq 2$ of $u^\varepsilon(t,x,y)$ as $\varepsilon \to \infty$, where $u^\varepsilon(t,x,y)$ is the solution to the stochastic heat equation subject to a mollified white noise W^ε in place of the space-time white noise. Its solution is given by the following Feymann–Kac formula which is well defined for the noise W^ε:

$$u^\varepsilon(t,x,y) = p_t(x-y)\mathbb{E}^b_{x,y;t}\Big[\mathcal{E}\mathrm{xp}\Big(\int_0^t W^\varepsilon(s,b_s)\,\mathrm{d}s\Big)\Big],$$

where the expectation is with respect to a Brownian bridge b starting from x at time 0 and ending in y at time t. By the above Feymann–Kac formula it is then clear that for all $(t,x,y) \in (0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$, with probability 1, $u(t,x,y) \geq 0$. Using this and the determinant formula for K_n, the authors in [OW11, Proposition 5.5] proved by a path switching argument that $K_n(t,x,y) \geq 0$ almost surely, for all $(t,x,y) \in (0,\infty)\times W_n \times W_n$.

In fact, a stronger result is true since the above implies that $K_n(t,x,y) \geq 0$ for all rational points (t,x,y) almost surely. It is well known that $(t,x,y) \mapsto u(t,x,y)$ has a jointly continuous version and hence the same is true for K_n as it is just a sum of products of the u's. Therefore, by continuity

$$\mathbb{P}[K_n(t,x,y) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t>0 \text{ and } x,y \in W_n] = 1.$$

Since the Vandermonde determinant is non-negative on W_n, we see that the same is true for M_n in the interior W_n°. By the continuity of M_n proved in the previous section, this non-negativity extends to the boundary of the Weyl chamber and by symmetry to the whole of $\mathbb{R}^n$. That is,

$$\mathbb{P}[M_n(t,x,y) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t>0 \text{ and } x,y \in \mathbb{R}^n] = 1. \tag{55}$$

By the linearity of the equation (13), the non-negativity property above is equivalent to a weak comparison principle. The next lemma extends this to solutions $M_n^g(t,y)$ of equation (15) with initial data g.

Lemma 6.1 (Weak comparison principle)**.** *Let $M_n^1(t,y)$ and $M_n^2(t,y)$ be the solution to (15) with symmetric initial data g_1 and g_2 respectively. If $g_1 \geq g_2$, then*

$$\mathbb{P}[M_n^1(t,y) \geq M_n^2(t,y) \textit{ for all } t>0 \textit{ and } y \in \mathbb{R}^n] = 1.$$

Proof. By linearity of the equation (15), it suffices to prove the lemma in the case $g \geq 0$. For $(t,y) \in [0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$v_g(t,y) := \frac{1}{n!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) M_n(t,x,y)\Delta(x)^2\,\mathrm{d}x.$$

A direct calculation shows that v_g satisfies (15) and so by uniqueness $v_g(t,y) = M_n^g(t,y)$ almost surely for all $(t,y) \in [0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n$. Now by (55) and the non-negativity of g and the Vandermonde determinant it is clear that for all $(t,y) \in [0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^n$, $v_g(t,y) \geq 0$ almost surely. This and the continuity of $(t,y) \mapsto M_n^g(t,y)$ shows that $\mathbb{P}[M_n^g(t,y) \geq 0 \text{ for all } t \geq 0 \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{R}^n] = 1$ as required. ☐

6.2. **A Strong Comparison Principle.** We now prove a strong comparision principle of which Theorem 1.4 is an easy corollary.

Theorem 6.2 (Strong comparision principle)**.**

(a) *Let* $M_n^1(t,y)$ *and* $M_n^2(t,y)$ *be two solutions to (15) with initial data* g_1 *and* g_2 *respectively where* g_1 *and* g_2 *are as in Theorem 1.3(a). If furthermore* $g_1 \geq g_2$ *and* $g_1(y) > g_2(y)$ *for some* $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *almost surely, then*

$$\mathbb{P}[M_n^1(t,y) > M_n^2(t,y) \text{ for all } t>0 \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{R}^n] = 1.$$

(b) *Let* $M_n(t,x,y)$ *be the solution to (13), then*

$$\mathbb{P}[M_n(t,x,y) > 0 \text{ for all } t>0 \text{ and } x,y \in \mathbb{R}^n] = 1.$$

We begin with a lemma which provides a lower bound for the deterministic term $J_n(t,y)$ in (15).

Lemma 6.3. *Let* $\beta := \beta(n) = \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{GUE}}[Y : \phi_i(Y) \geq 0, \forall i]/2 > 0$ *where* $\phi_i(Y)$ *is the ith eigenvalue of an* $n \times n$ *matrix* Y *from the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE). For all* $h > 0$, $t > 0$, $M > 0$, *there exists an* $m_0 := m_0(h,M,n,t)$ *such that for all* $m \geq m_0$, *all* $s \in [t/2m, t/m]$ *and* $x \in W_n$,

$$\int_{W_n} Q_s(x,y) 1_{(-h,h)^n}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y \geq \beta 1_{(-h-M/m,h+M/m)^n}(x).$$

Proof. Since Dyson Brownian motion is realised as the eigenvalues of Brownian motion on the space of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices $\mathcal{H}(n)$, we have that

$$\int_{W_n} Q_s(x,y) 1_{(-h,h)^n}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y = \int_{\mathcal{H}(n)} P_s(Y) 1_{(-h,h)^n}\big(\phi(Y+D_x)\big)\,\mathrm{d}Y,$$

where $P_s(A-B) = 2^{-n/2}(\pi s)^{-n^2/2} e^{-\mathrm{Tr}(A-B)^2/2s}$ for $A, B \in \mathcal{H}(n)$ is the transition density of Brownian motion on the space of Hermitian matrices and $\phi : \mathcal{H}(n) \to W_n$ is such that $\phi(Y) = y = (y_1,\dots,y_n) = (\phi_1(Y),\dots,\phi_n(Y))$ is the vector of ordered eigenvalues of Y. D_x is a diagonal matrix with entries $x = (x_1,\dots,x_n)$. Weyl's eigenvalue inequality [Bha97, Theorem III.2.1] implies that for two Hermitian matrices A, B with eigenvalues $\phi_i(A)$ and $\phi_i(B)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ respectively, the following hold

$$\phi_1(A+B) \leq \phi_1(A) + \phi(B) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_n(A) + \phi_n(B) \leq \phi_n(A+B).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{(-h,h)^n}\big(\phi(Y+D_x)\big) &= 1\big\{\phi_n(Y+D_x) \geq -h\big\} 1\big\{\phi_1(Y+D_x) \leq h\big\} \\ &\geq 1\big\{\phi_n(Y) + x_n \geq -h\big\} 1\big\{\phi_1(Y) + x_1 \leq h\big\}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{W_n} Q_s(x,y) 1_{(-h,h)^n}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y \\ &\qquad \geq \int_{\mathcal{H}(n)} P_s(Y)\, 1\big\{\phi_n(Y) \geq -h - x_n\big\} 1\big\{\phi_1(Y) \leq h - x_1\big\}\,\mathrm{d}Y \\ &\qquad = \int_{\mathcal{H}(n)} P_1(Y)\, 1\Big\{\phi_n(Y) \geq \frac{-h-x_n}{\sqrt{s}}\Big\} 1\Big\{\phi_1(Y) \leq \frac{h-x_1}{\sqrt{s}}\Big\}\,\mathrm{d}Y \\ &\qquad = \int_{\mathcal{H}(n)} P_1(Y) \prod_{i=1}^n 1\Big\{\phi_i(Y) \in \Big(\frac{-h-x_n}{\sqrt{s}}, \frac{h-x_1}{\sqrt{s}}\Big)\Big\}\,\mathrm{d}Y. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\beta > 0$ be the constant in the statement of the lemma then for $-h-M/m \leq x_i \leq 0$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $t/2m \leq s \leq t/m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{W_n} & Q_s(x,y) 1_{(-h,h)^n}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y \\ & \geq \int_{\mathcal{H}(n)} P_1(Y) \prod_{i=1}^n 1\{\phi_i(Y) \in (\sqrt{2}M(tm)^{-1/2}, h(m/t)^{1/2})\}\,\mathrm{d}Y. \qquad (56)\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $0 \leq x_i \leq h + M/m$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and s in the same range as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{W_n} & Q_s(x,y) 1_{(-h,h)^n}(y)\,\mathrm{d}y \\ & \geq \int_{\mathcal{H}(n)} P_1(Y) \prod_{i=1}^n 1\{\phi_i(Y) \in (-h(m/t)^{1/2}, -\sqrt{2}M(tm)^{-1/2})\}\,\mathrm{d}Y. \qquad (57)\end{aligned}$$

Taking m large enough and noting that $P_1(Y)$ is the probability density of a GUE matrix Y, we see that both (56) and (57) can be made greater than β and hence completes the proof. ☐

Lemma 6.4. *Let β be the constant in Lemma 6.3. Let $t > 0$, $M > 0$ and $h > 0$ be such that $(-h,h) \subseteq (-2M, 2M)$ and let M_n be the solution to (15) with initial data $g = 1_{(-h,h)^n}$. Then, there exists an $m_0 := m_0(h, M, n, t)$ such that for all $m \geq m_0$*

$$\mathbb{P}\Big[M_n(s,y) \geq \frac{\beta}{2} 1_{(-h-M/m, h+M/m)^n}(y) \text{ for all } t/2m \leq s \leq t/m \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{R}^n\Big] \geq 1 - \delta(m),$$

where $\delta(m)$ satisfies $(1-\delta(m))^m \to 1$ as $m \to \infty$.

Proof. Let β be as in Lemma 6.3 and let $M > 0$, $t > 0$, $h > 0$ be given, then by Lemma 6.3 there exist an $m_0 = m_0(h, M, n, t)$ such that for all $m \geq m_0$, all $s \in [t/2m, t/m]$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$J_n(s,y) \geq \beta 1_{(-h-M/m, h+M/m)^n}(y).$$

Since J_n is deterministic, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\Big[& M_n(s,y) < \frac{\beta}{2} 1_{(-h-M/m,h+M/m)^n}(y) \text{ for some } s \in [t/2m, t/m] \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{R}^n\Big] \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}\Big[I_n(s,y) < -\frac{\beta}{2} 1_{(-h-M/m,h+M/m)^n}(y) \text{ for some } s \in [t/2m, t/m] \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{R}^n\Big] \\
& \leq \mathbb{P}\left[\sup_{\substack{s \in [t/2m, t/m] \\ y \in (-h-M/m, h+M/m)^n}} |I_n(s,y)| > \frac{\beta}{2}\right] \\
& \leq \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{-p} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{\substack{s \in [t/2m, t/m] \\ y \in (-h-M/m, h+M/m)^n}} |I_n(s,y)|^p\right] \\
& \leq \left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{-p} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{(s,y) \in [t/2m, t/m] \times [-3M, 3M]^n} |I_n(s,y)|^p\right], \qquad (58)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $p \geq 2$ by Chebychev's inequality. We shall bound the final expectation. Fix $\alpha < 1/4$ and $\theta \in \left(0, \alpha - \frac{n+1}{p}\right)$ then since $I_n(0,y) \equiv 0$ for all y, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{\substack{s\in[t/2m,t/m]\\ y\in[-3M,3M]^n}}\left|\frac{I_n(s,y)}{(t/m)^\theta}\right|^p\right] &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{\substack{s\in[t/2m,t/m]\\ y\in[-3M,3M]^n}}\left|\frac{I_n(s,y)-I_n(0,y)}{s^\theta}\right|^p\right]\\ &\leq \mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{\substack{s,s'\in[0,t/m],s\neq s'\\ y\in[-3M,3M]^n}}\left|\frac{I_n(s,y)-I_n(s',y)}{|s-s'|^\theta}\right|^p\right]. \qquad (59)\end{aligned}$$

Recall that Kolmogorov's continuity criterion (see [RY99, Theorem 2.1]) states that for a stochastic process $(X(t) : t \in [0,T]^d)$, if there exist strictly positive constants C, α and p with $\alpha p > d$ such that

$$\|X(s) - X(t)\|_p \leq C|s-t|^\alpha, \quad \text{for all } s,t \in [0,T]^d,$$

then X has a Hölder continuous modification which satisfies for all $\theta \in [0, \alpha - d/p)$,

$$\left\|\sup_{\substack{s\neq t\\ s,t\in[0,T]^d}}\frac{|X(s)-X(t)|}{|s-t|^\theta}\right\|_p \leq CT^{\alpha-\theta}\frac{2^{\theta+1}2^{d/p}}{1-2^{d/p}2^{-(\alpha-\theta)}}. \qquad (60)$$

Note that the right hand side of (60) is bounded for all $p \geq 2$.

By Proposition 5.3, for all $p \geq 2$, there is a constant $C := C(\alpha, n)$ such that for all (s,y), $(s',y') \in [0,t/m] \times [-3M,3M]^n$,

$$\|I_n(s,y) - I_n(s',y')\|_p \leq Cc_p \sup_{\substack{s\in[0,t/m]\\ y\in[-3M,3M]^n}} \|M_n(s,y)\|_p\left(|s-s'|^\alpha + |y-y'|^{1/2}\right). \qquad (61)$$

Then by Kolmogorov's continuity criterion, for $p > (n+1)/\alpha$ there is a constant $K' := K'(\alpha, M, m, n, t)$ such that (59) is bounded by

$$(K')^p c_p^p \sup_{\substack{s\in[0,t/m]\\ y\in[-3M,3M]^n}} \|M_n(s,y)\|_p^p \leq (4K'\sqrt{p})^p e^{Ap^3t/m},$$

for a constant A depending only on n, where to obtain the inequality we have used the moment bound (16) and the fact that $g \leq 1$, $|\mathrm{erf}(\cdot)| \leq 1$ and $c_p \leq 2\sqrt{p}$. Furthermore, if $m > m_0 \wedge t$ then $t/m \leq 1$ and thus for such m we can, by the explicit bound on the right hand side (60), replace the constant K' in the previous display with a constant $K := K(\alpha, M, n)$. Consequently, for all $p > (n+1)/\alpha$

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{\beta}{2}\right)^{-p}\mathbb{E}\left[\sup_{\substack{s\in[0,t/m]\\ y\in[-3M,3M]}}|I_n(s,y)|^p\right] &\leq \left(\frac{8K\sqrt{p}}{\beta}\left(\frac{t}{m}\right)^\theta\right)^p e^{Ap^3t/m}\\ &\leq \exp\left(\frac{Ap^3t}{m} + p\log(8K\beta^{-1}t^\theta\sqrt{p}) - p\theta\log(m)\right)\end{aligned}$$

Choose $p = 4(n+1)/\alpha > (n+1)/\alpha$ and $\theta = \alpha/2$ and for such p denote the exponenial in the last line above by $\delta(m)$, then for m large, $\delta(m) \sim \exp(-\log(m^{n+1}))$ and therefore

$$(1-\delta(m))^m \sim \left(1 - \frac{1}{m^{n+1}}\right)^m \to 1, \quad \text{as } m \to \infty,$$

for all $n \geq 1$ as required. $\square$

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

Proof of Theorem 6.2. By linearity $M_n^1 - M_n^2$ is the solution to (15) with initial data $g_1 - g_2$ and so it suffices to prove that $\mathbb{P}[M_n^g(t,y) > 0 \text{ for all } t > 0 \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{R}^n] = 1$, for g such that $g \geq 0$ and $g(y) > 0$ for some $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ almost surely.

We first consider the case when g is a continuous function such that $g \geq 0$ and $g(y) > 0$ for some $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ so that one can find constants $c > 0$, $d > 0$ small enough such that $g(x) \geq c\prod_{i=1}^n 1_{(y_i-d,y_i+d)}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $c = 1$ and take y to be the origin for convenience. By the weak comparision principle (Lemma 6.1), we can therefore assume that the initial data is $g(\cdot) = 1_{(-d,d)^n}(\cdot)$. From now on we drop the superscript g and just write $M_n(t,y)$.

Let $\gamma = \beta/2$ where β is the constant in Lemma 6.3. Fix $t > 0$ and $M > 0$ such that $(-d,d) \subset (-M,M)$. For $k = 1,\dots,m$, define the events

$$A_k := \left\{ M_n(s,y) \geq \gamma^k 1_{(-d-\frac{Mk}{m},d+\frac{Mk}{m})^n}(y) \text{ for all } s \in \left[\frac{(2k-1)t}{2m}, \frac{kt}{m}\right] \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\},$$

and for $k = 2,\dots,m$ the events

$$B_1 := \left\{ M_n(t/2m,y) \geq \gamma 1_{(-d-\frac{M}{m},d+\frac{M}{m})^n}(y) \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}$$

$$B_k := \left\{ M_n(s,y) \geq \gamma^k 1_{(-d-\frac{Mk}{m},d+\frac{Mk}{m})^n}(y) \text{ for all } s \in \left[\frac{(k-1)t}{m}, \frac{(2k-1)t}{2m}\right] \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}.$$

We consider first the sets A_k. By Lemma 6.4, there is an m_0 such that for all $m \geq m_0$ there is a $\delta(m)$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}[A_1] \geq 1 - \delta(m).$$

Now assume that $A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_{k-1}$ occurs. On the event A_{k-1} we have $M_n((k-1)t/m, y) \geq \gamma^{k-1} 1_{(-d-M(k-1)/m, d+M(k-1)/m)^n}(y)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ almost surely. Define a time shifted white noise by $\dot{W}^k(s,y) = \dot{W}((k-1)t/m + s, y)$. Let $M_n^k(s,y)$ be the solution driven by the noise $\dot{W}^k$ with initial data given by $\gamma^{k-1} 1_{(-d-M(k-1)/m,d+M(k-1)/m)^n}(y)$. On the event A_{k-1}, by the weak comparision principle, $M_n((k-1)t/m + s, y) \geq M_n^k(s,y)$ for all $s \geq 0$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ almost surely. It is easy to see that $\tilde{M}_n^k(s,y) := \gamma^{-(k-1)} M_n^k(s,y)$ is the solution to (15) with initial data $1_{(-d-M(k-1)/m,d+M(k-1)/m)^n}(y)$. Lemma 6.4 applied to $\tilde{M}_n^k$ with $h = d + M(k-1)/m$ shows that with the same m_0 and $\delta(\cdot)$ as above that for all $m \geq m_0$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\tilde{M}_n^k(s,y) \geq \gamma 1_{(-d-\frac{Mk}{m},d+\frac{Mk}{m})^n}(y) \text{ for all } s \in \left[\frac{t}{2m}, \frac{t}{m}\right] \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{R}^n \right] \geq 1 - \delta(m),$$

and hence

$$\mathbb{P}\left[M_n^k(s,y) \geq \gamma^k 1_{(-d-\frac{Mk}{m},d+\frac{Mk}{m})^n}(y) \text{ for all } s \in \left[\frac{t}{2m}, \frac{t}{m}\right] \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{R}^n \right] \geq 1 - \delta(m).$$

By the above discussion, this implies that

$$\mathbb{P}[A_k | A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_{k-1}] \geq 1 - \delta(m) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq m.$$

Now since $B_1 \subseteq A_1$, $\mathbb{P}[B_1] \geq 1 - \delta(m)$ and then proceeding in the same manner as before, we have

$$\mathbb{P}[B_k | B_1 \cap \dots \cap B_{k-1}] \geq 1 - \delta(m) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq m.$$

Finally, by the union bound

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcap_{k=1}^{m} A_k \cap \bigcap_{k=1}^{m} B_k\right] &= 1-\mathbb{P}\left[\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{m} A_k\right)^c \cup \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{m} B_k\right)^c\right]\\ &\geq 1-\left(1-\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcap_{k=1}^{m} A_k\right]\right)-\left(1-\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcap_{k=1}^{m} B_k\right]\right)\\ &\geq 2\big(1-\delta(m)\big)^m-1.\end{aligned}$$

Since $(1-\delta(m))^m \to 1$ as $m\to\infty$, we conclude that

$$\mathbb{P}\big[M_n(s,y)>0 \text{ for all } s\in(0,t] \text{ and } y\in[-M,M]^n\big] \geq \lim_{m\to\infty}\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcap_{k=1}^{m} A_k \cap \bigcap_{k=1}^{m} B_k\right]=1.$$

Since $t>0$ and $M>0$ are arbitrary, this completes the proof in the case when the initial data g is a continuous function.

We now prove the result for g satisfying the assumptions in Theorem 6.2(a). The idea is that after a small time $\tau>0$, we are back in the situation above. We shall prove that for all $\tau>0$,

$$\mathbb{P}[M_n(t,y)>0 \text{ for all } t>\tau \text{ and } y\in\mathbb{R}^n]=1. \tag{62}$$

and since τ is arbitrary this would imply the desired result. Let $\dot{W}^\tau(s,y)=\dot{W}(\tau+s,y)$ be the time shifted white noise and let M_n^τ be the solution to (15) driven by the noise $\dot{W}^\tau$ and with initial data $M_n(\tau,\cdot)$. The weak comparison principle shows that $\mathbb{P}[M_n(t,y)\geq 0 \text{ for all } t\geq 0 \text{ and } y\in\mathbb{R}^n]=1$. We claim that $\mathbb{P}[M_n(\tau,y)>0 \text{ for some } y]=1$ then since $y\mapsto M_n(\tau,y)$ is continuous, the strong comparison principle for continuous initial data proved above applied to the solution M_n^τ shows that $\mathbb{P}[M_n^\tau(s,y)>0 \text{ for all } s>0 \text{ all } y\in\mathbb{R}^n]=1$ which proves (62).

Therefore, it remains to prove the claim. Suppose the opposite is true, that is $\mathbb{P}[M_n(\tau,y)=0 \text{ for all } y]>0$ and consider the solution $M_n(s,\cdot)$ at time $s\leq\tau$. If $M_n(s,y)>0$ for some y almost surely then the strong comparison principle for continuous initial data applies to show that $M_n(\tau,y)>0$ for all y almost surely. Hence, $\mathbb{P}[M_n(s,y)=0 \text{ for all } y]>0$ for all $0\leq s\leq\tau$ which implies that $M_n(0,\cdot)\equiv 0$ with strictly positive probability which is a contradiction. Thus, we must have that $\mathbb{P}[M_n(\tau,y)=0 \text{ for all } y]=0$ which proves the claim.

We now prove part (b) of the theorem; the everywhere strict positivity of $M_n(t,x,y)$. Fix $\tau>0$ then the same argument as above together with Proposition 5.4 shows that $\mathbb{P}[M_n(\tau,x,0)>0 \text{ for all } x]=1$. By the joint continuity of M_n, there exist random $c=c(x)$ and $d=d(x)$ strictly positive such that $M_n(\tau,x,y)\geq c1_{(-d,d)^n}(y)$ for all x, $y\in\mathbb{R}^n$ almost surely. For $N\geq 1$ define the random set $B_N:=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : c(x)\geq 1/N, d(x)\geq 1/N\}$. Then $M_n(\tau,x,y)\geq(1/N)1_{(-1/N,1/N)^n}(y)$ for all y and all $x\in B_N$ almost surely. The strict positivity result proved above applied to the solution with initial data $(1/N)1_{(-1/N,1/N)^n}(y)$ together with the weak comparision principle implies that

$$\mathbb{P}[E_N]:=\mathbb{P}[M_n(\tau+s,x,y)>0 \text{ for all } s>0 \text{ and } y\in\mathbb{R}^n, x\in B_N]=1.$$

By the joint continuity of M_n, $\mathbb{P}[\bigcup_{N=1}^\infty B_N=\mathbb{R}^n]=1$ and so $\mathbb{P}[\bigcap_{N=1}^\infty E_N]=\mathbb{P}[M_n(\tau+s,x,y)>0 \text{ for all } s>0 \text{ and } x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n]=1$ as required. ☐

References

[AKQ14a] Tom Alberts, Konstantin Khanin, and Jeremy Quastel. The Continuum Directed Random Polymer. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 154:305–326, 2014.

[AKQ14b] Tom Alberts, Konstantin Khanin, and Jeremy Quastel. The intermediate disorder regime for directed polymers in dimension $1+1$. *Ann. Probab.*, 42(3):1212–1256, 2014.

[BBO09] Philippe Biane, Philippe Bougerol, and Neil O'Connell. Continuous crystal and Duistermaat-Heckman measure for Coxeter groups. *Adv. Math.*, 221(5):1522–1583, 2009.

[BC95] Lorenzo Bertini and Nicoletta Cancrini. The stochastic heat equation: Feynman–Kac formula and intermittence. *J. Statist. Phys.*, 78(5-6):1377–1401, 1995.

[BDJ99a] Jinho Baik, Percy Deift, and Kurt Johansson. On the distribution of the length of the longest increasing subsequence of random permutations. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12(4):1119–1178, 1999.

[BDJ99b] Jinho Baik, Percy Deift, and Kurt Johansson. On the distribution of the length of the second row of a Young diagram under Plancherel measure. *Geom. Funct. Anal*, 10:25, 1999.

[BG97] Lorenzo Bertini and Giambattista Giacomin. Stochastic Burgers and KPZ Equations from Particle Systems. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 183(3):571–607, 1997.

[Bha97] Rajendra Bhatia. *Matrix analysis*. Springer, New York, 1997.

[Bil95] Patrick Billingsley. *Probability and measure*. Wiley, New York, 1995.

[BOO00] Alexei Borodin, Andrei Okounkov, and Grigori Olshanski. Asymptotics of plancherel measures for symmetric groups. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 13(3):481–515, 2000.

[Bor11] Alexei Borodin. Determinantal point processes. In *The Oxford handbook of random matrix theory*, pages 231–249. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2011.

[CD14a] Le Chen and Robert C. Dalang. Hölder-continuity for the nonlinear stochastic heat equation with rough initial conditions. *Stoch PDE: Anal Comp*, 2(3):316–352, 2014.

[CD14b] Le Chen and Robert C. Dalang. Moments and growth indices for the nonlinear stochastic heat equation with rough initial conditions. *Ann. Probab. to appear*, 2014, arXiv:1307.0600.

[CH13] Ivan Corwin and Alan Hammond. KPZ line ensemble. 2013, arXiv:1312.2600.

[CJK12] Daniel Conus, Mathew Joseph, and Davar Khoshnevisan. Correlation-length bounds, and estimates for intermittent islands in parabolic SPDEs. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 17, 2012.

[CJKS14] Daniel Conus, Mathew Joseph, Davar Khoshnevisan, and Shang-Yuan Shiu. Initial measures for the stochastic heat equation. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat.*, 50(1):136–153, 2014.

[CK12] Daniel Conus and Davar Khoshnevisan. On the existence and position of the farthest peaks of a family of stochastic heat and wave equations. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 152(3-4):681–701, 2012.

[CK14] Le Chen and Kunwoo Kim. On comparison principle and strict positivity of solutions to the nonlinear stochastic fractional heat equations. 2014, arXiv:1410.0604.

[COSZ14] Ivan Corwin, Neil O'Connell, Timo Seppäläinen, and Nikos Zygouras. Tropical combinatorics and Whittaker functions. *Duke Math. J.*, 163(3):513–563, 2014.

[Dal99] Robert C. Dalang. Extending the martingale measure stochastic integral with applications to spatially homogeneous S.P.D.E.'s. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 4:no. 6, 1–29, 1999.

[DF98] Robert. C. Dalang and Nikos. E. Frangos. The stochastic wave equation in two spatial dimensions. *Ann. Probab.*, 26(1):187–212, 1998.

[Dys62] Freeman J. Dyson. A Brownian-motion model for the eigenvalues of a random matrix. *J. Math. Phys.*, 3:1191–1198, 1962.

[FK09] Mohammud Foondun and Davar Khoshnevisan. Intermittence and nonlinear parabolic stochastic partial differential equations. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 14:no. 21, 548–568, 2009.

[Flo14] Gregorio R. Moreno Flores. On the (strict) positivity of solutions of the stochastic heat equation. *Ann. Probab.*, 42(4):1635–1643, 2014.

[FPY93] Pat Fitzsimmons, Jim Pitman, and Marc Yor. Markovian bridges: construction, Palm interpretation, and splicing. In *Seminar on Stochastic Processes, 1992*, volume 33 of *Progr. Probab.*, pages 101–134. Birkhäuser Boston, 1993.

[Gra99] David J. Grabiner. Brownian motion in a Weyl chamber, non-colliding particles, and random matrices. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 35(2):177–204, 1999.

[IZ80] Claude Itzykson and Jean-Bernard Zuber. The planar approximation. II. *J. Math. Phys.*, 21(3):411–421, 1980.

[Joh99] Kurt Johansson. Shape Fluctuations and Random Matrices. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 209(2):51, 1999.

[Joh01a] Kurt Johansson. Discrete orthogonal polynomial ensembles and the plancherel measure. *Ann. Math.*, 153(1):259–296, 2001.

[Joh01b] Kurt Johansson. Universality of the local spacing distribution in certain ensembles of Hermitian Wigner matrices. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 215(3):683–705, 2001.

[Joh03] Kurt Johansson. Discrete Polynuclear Growth and Determinantal Processes. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 242(1-2):277–329, 2003.

[Joh06] Kurt Johansson. Random matrices and determinantal processes. In *Mathematical statistical physics*, pages 1–55. Elsevier B. V., Amsterdam, 2006.

[Kal02] Olav Kallenberg. *Foundations of modern probability.* Springer, New York, 2002.

[Kho09] Davar Khoshnevisan. A primer on stochastic partial differential equations. In Davar Khoshnevisan and Firas Rassoul-Agha, editors, *A Minicourse on Stochastic Partial Differential Equations*, volume 1962 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 1–38. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2009.

[KM59] Samuel Karlin and James McGregor. Coincidence probabilities. *Pacific J. Math.*, 9:1141–1164, 1959.

[KPZ86] Mehran Kardar, Giorgio Parisi, and Yi-Cheng Zhang. Dynamic Scaling of Growing Interfaces. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 56(9):889–892, 1986.

[KT07] Makoto Katori and Hideki Tanemura. Noncolliding Brownian motion and determinantal processes. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 129(5-6):1233–1277, 2007.

[MRTZ06] Ranjiva Munasinghe, Ravindran Rajesh, Roger Tribe, and Oleg Zaboronski. Multi-scaling of the n-point density function for coalescing Brownian motions. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 268(3):717–725, 2006.

[Mue91] Carl Mueller. On the support of solutions to the heat equation with noise. *Stochastics*, 37(4):225–245, 1991.

[OLBC10] Frank. W. J. Olver, Daniel. W. Lozier, Ronald. F. Boisvert, and Charles. W. Clark. *NIST handbook of mathematical functions.* Cambridge University Press, NIST, Cambridge, New York, 2010.

[OW11] Neil O'Connell and Jon Warren. A multi-layer extension of the stochastic heat equation. 2011, arXiv:1104.3509.

[PS02] Michael Prähofer and Herbert Spohn. Scale invariance of the PNG droplet and the Airy process. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 108:1071–1106, 2002.

[RY99] Daniel Revuz and Marc Yor. *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion (Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften).* Springer, 3rd edition, 1999.

[Shi94] Tokuzo Shiga. Two contrasting properties of solutions for one-dimensional stochastic partial differential equations. *Canad. J. Math.*, 46(2):415–437, 1994.

[SSS02] Marta Sanz-Solé and Monica Sarrà. Hölder continuity for the stochastic heat equation with spatially correlated noise. In *Seminar on Stochastic Analysis, Random Fields and Applications III*, pages 259–268. Springer, 2002.

[Wal86] John B. Walsh. An introduction to stochastic partial differential equations. In *École d'été de probabilités de Saint-Flour, XIV—1984*, volume 1180 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 265–439. Springer, Berlin, 1986.

C. H. Lun, Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK
E-mail address: `c.h.lun@warwick.ac.uk`

J. Warren, Department of Statistics, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK
E-mail address: `j.warren@warwick.ac.uk`